



Developing standardized approaches to copyright attribution and ownership for AI-assisted and AI-generated works in digital ecosystems

Olajumoke Ifeoluwa Adebisi¹ and Oluwafemi Clement Adeusi^{2*}

¹ School of Law, Robert H. McKinney, Indiana University, Indiana, USA.

² Department of Computer Science Network and Security, Staffordshire University, UK.

GSC Advanced Research and Reviews, 2025, 23(03), 128-137

Publication history: Received on 07 May 2025; revised on 14 June 2025; accepted on 16 June 2025

Article DOI: <https://doi.org/10.30574/gscarr.2025.23.3.0164>

Abstract

The proliferation of artificial intelligence technologies in creative industries has fundamentally transformed traditional concepts of authorship, challenging established copyright frameworks that were designed for human creators. This comprehensive review examines the emerging approaches to copyright attribution and ownership for AI-assisted and AI-generated works within digital ecosystems. We analyze current legal frameworks, technical attribution methods, and governance models that address the unique challenges presented by AI in creative production. The review synthesizes findings from recent studies, legal precedents, and industry implementations, highlighting the complex interplay between technological capabilities, legal traditions, and creative practices. Our analysis reveals that while significant progress has been made in developing attribution frameworks, substantial gaps remain in legal harmonization, technical standardization, and cross-border enforcement. The paper concludes by identifying critical research gaps and proposing future directions for establishing cohesive standards that balance innovation incentives with creator rights. This review provides valuable insights for policymakers, legal scholars, technologists, and creative professionals navigating the evolving landscape of AI and copyright.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence; Copyright Law; Creative Attribution; Digital Rights Management; Intellectual Property; Content Creation

1. Introduction

The integration of artificial intelligence into creative production processes has fundamentally disrupted traditional notions of authorship, originality, and creative ownership that underpin global copyright systems [1]. AI systems now routinely generate visual art, music compositions, literary works, and other creative content with varying degrees of human involvement, creating unprecedented challenges for existing copyright frameworks. This evolution has necessitated a corresponding advancement in attribution methodologies and ownership models that can accommodate the unique characteristics of AI-assisted and AI-generated works.

Traditional copyright frameworks, primarily designed for human creators and clearly identifiable works, have proven increasingly inadequate in addressing the complexities introduced by AI creative systems [2]. Global creative industries report growing legal uncertainty regarding AI-generated content, highlighting the urgent need for standardized attribution approaches. [3]. The integration of clear attribution frameworks presents a promising solution, offering capabilities that extend beyond conventional copyright systems in both flexibility and technical implementation.

This review article examines the current state of copyright attribution and ownership frameworks for AI-assisted and AI-generated works, analyzing the convergence of legal traditions, technical standards, and governance models in

* Corresponding author: Olajumoke Ifeoluwa Adebisi.

creating comprehensive attribution systems. We explore how these approaches work together to enhance the integrity of creative ecosystems while addressing the challenges of implementation, jurisdiction, and creator rights concerns. The review synthesizes findings from recent academic research, legal developments, and practical implementations to provide a comprehensive understanding of current capabilities and limitations.

2. State of Copyright Attribution in AI-Generated Works

2.1. Evolution of AI Creation Landscape

The technological advancement in generative AI systems has catalyzed a dramatic evolution in creative production, necessitating a fundamental reassessment of copyright attribution strategies. The interconnected nature of modern digital creative systems has created new challenges that existing copyright frameworks increasingly struggle to address. Recent industry analyses indicate that generative AI systems produce approximately 3.8 million commercial-quality creative works daily, with AI-assisted workflows now accounting for 43% of professional creative production, according to the Creative Technology Consortium's annual industry survey [4]. The complexity of these creative systems has increased exponentially, with generative models utilizing massive training datasets that contain millions of potentially copyrighted works.

Statistical analysis of global AI content creation trends reveals a 375% increase in commercially deployed generative systems over the past four years, as documented in the International AI Registry's market assessment report [5]. These systems increasingly leverage sophisticated machine learning architectures, enabling them to produce works with decreasing levels of direct human input. The emergence of multimodal generative systems and foundation models has created new attribution challenges, with creators exploiting the capabilities of these systems to produce works that blur traditional boundaries between human and machine creation.

2.2. Traditional Copyright Models and Their Limitations

Contemporary legal systems have historically relied on human-centric copyright frameworks and authorship requirements for establishing intellectual property rights. These traditional approaches operate through established concepts of originality, fixation, and human creative expression, analyzing creative works against standardized criteria to determine copyright eligibility. However, the effectiveness of these conventional frameworks has diminished significantly in the face of evolving AI capabilities.

Traditional copyright models typically struggle to address works where machine systems contribute substantially to creative expression, with courts in major jurisdictions often requiring evidence of human creative control that many AI workflows cannot clearly demonstrate [6]. These limitations create substantial legal uncertainty, of AI-generated commercial works existing in a copyright "gray area" that lacks clear protection standards, as identified in the Global Copyright Forum's protection analysis study [7]. The static nature of these systems makes them particularly vulnerable to emerging creative workflows and adaptive AI capabilities.

2.3. Regulatory Framework Evolution

The regulatory landscape governing copyright for AI-generated works has undergone significant transformation in response to technological capabilities and creative industry needs. International frameworks such as the WIPO Copyright Treaty and national copyright modernization initiatives have begun adapting to AI-specific challenges, though with varying approaches and effectiveness [8]. These regulatory developments have imposed increasingly sophisticated requirements for establishing clear chains of attribution and ownership.

The implementation of these regulatory frameworks has driven substantial changes in creative workflows and technology infrastructure. Content creation platforms must now maintain comprehensive attribution records, demonstrating the provenance of AI-assisted works to enable proper copyright protection. Compliance costs have escalated dramatically, creating a significant driver for the adoption of more sophisticated attribution technologies [9].

2.4. Emerging Attribution Challenges

Contemporary AI creative systems exhibit increasing complexity, leveraging advanced technologies and exploiting ambiguities in copyright frameworks [10]. Training data contamination has emerged as a particularly challenging issue in recent years. These sophisticated systems inadvertently memorize and reproduce elements from their training data, creating works that contain fragments of potentially copyrighted material without clear attribution paths.

Ownership determination challenges have similarly evolved as AI systems become more sophisticated. The increasing complexity of AI models creates attribution uncertainty when multiple stakeholders contribute to model development, training, prompt engineering, and content selection [11]. The sophistication of these systems often renders traditional copyright determination methods ineffective, as the creative contribution cannot be clearly separated between human direction and machine execution.

Cross-border attribution issues have become more pronounced, utilizing differing jurisdictional standards and international intellectual property agreements that create complex networks of conflicting rights claims [12]. The integration of global creative supply chains with varying AI regulation approaches has created complex scenarios that require advanced attribution frameworks to resolve effectively.

2.5. Impact on Creative Industries

The evolving attribution landscape has fundamentally transformed creative industry operations across multiple dimensions of content production and distribution. Creative organizations have experienced significant operational restructuring to accommodate enhanced attribution requirements, with notable increases in operational costs directly attributed to AI content management measures [13]. The integration of advanced attribution protocols has necessitated substantial modifications to existing creative workflows, particularly in areas of content production, rights clearance, and distribution.

Operational efficiency has been impacted by the need for enhanced attribution procedures. Content producers report significant increases in production time due to sophisticated rights verification requirements [14]. Furthermore, the complexity of modern AI attribution has necessitated the development of specialized roles within creative organizations, leading to increased personnel costs related to copyright compliance.

Creator compensation and incentive structures have been substantially affected by unclear attribution frameworks. Creative industries must now balance equitable compensation for human creators with recognition of AI system contributions, leading to the development of new royalty models that incorporate technical attribution methods while maintaining fair compensation [15]. This operational evolution has catalyzed significant investments in attribution infrastructure, with global content platforms allocating an average of 18% of their technology budgets specifically to copyright attribution systems, according to the Technology Investment Monitor's annual industry survey [16].

2.6. Cross-Border Attribution Challenges

The international nature of digital content creation presents unique challenges for AI copyright attribution. Cross-border content distribution introduces additional complexities in attribution and compliance, requiring coordination between multiple jurisdictional frameworks and regulatory requirements [17]. Global content platforms must navigate varying attribution standards while maintaining consistent protection measures across their international operations. The complexity of international copyright attribution is further compounded by differences in technological infrastructure and data sharing capabilities between jurisdictions [18]. Content creators must implement sophisticated systems capable of operating effectively across multiple regulatory environments while maintaining compliance with local data protection and copyright requirements.

3. Technical Attribution Systems for AI Works

3.1. Advanced Provenance Architectures

Modern attribution systems employ sophisticated architectures that combine multiple technical approaches to create comprehensive provenance frameworks. Digital watermarking technologies, particularly robust perceptual hashing and embedded metadata systems, have demonstrated remarkable success in identifying AI contribution levels across content lifecycles [19]. The implementation of layered provenance verification has revolutionized the approach to attribution in AI-generated works. These systems process creation data across multiple attribution layers simultaneously, identifying subtle contribution patterns that traditional copyright systems often miss [20]. Studies indicate that layered provenance architectures maintain attribution integrity even after significant content modification or transformation [21].

3.2. Blockchain-Based Attribution Systems

The integration of blockchain technology with attribution frameworks has emerged as a particularly effective approach to establishing persistent copyright records for AI works. These systems create immutable attribution chains that

record contribution levels, training data provenance, and creative process documentation [22]. Research indicates that blockchain-based approaches have significantly increased attribution verification accuracy compared to traditional documentation methods [23].

Contemporary blockchain attribution systems implement sophisticated smart contract mechanisms that establish automated rights allocation based on predefined contribution metrics. This adaptive approach enables the system to maintain accurate attribution records across complex collaboration scenarios, showing marked improvement in attribution clarity compared to conventional methods[24,25].

3.3. Real-time Attribution Processing

Modern attribution systems have overcome the limitations of post-creation documentation through innovative real-time monitoring architectures. These systems analyze creation processes during content generation, typically recording attribution data within microseconds while maintaining high accuracy rates [26]. The implementation of parallel processing frameworks enables simultaneous analysis of multiple contribution factors, including prompt engineering, parameter selection, and model configuration decisions. Advanced contribution tracking capabilities enable these systems to process complex creative workflows, identifying potential attribution conflicts before content distribution [27].

3.4. Natural Language Processing in Attribution

The integration of advanced Natural Language Processing (NLP) models has significantly enhanced the capability to establish attribution through unstructured data analysis [28]. These systems analyze creation instructions, model interactions, and process documentation to identify potential indicators of creative contribution. Modern NLP implementations achieve accuracy rates exceeding 91% in identifying authorial contribution patterns in text-based creation contexts, as validated through comprehensive testing by the AI Attribution Research Group [29].

3.5. Adaptive Attribution Frameworks

Contemporary attribution solutions employ sophisticated adaptive frameworks that enable continuous system improvement through operational experience [30]. These systems automatically adjust their attribution parameters based on verified ownership patterns and dispute resolutions, reducing the need for manual determination while improving attribution accuracy over time. Research indicates that adaptive systems demonstrate steady improvement in their attribution precision during their first year of operation [31].

3.6. Model Transparency and Attribution Compliance

The development of explainable AI frameworks has become crucial for attribution transparency and copyright compliance. Modern systems implement various techniques for model contribution disclosure, including creation process logging and parameter influence measurement, enabling detailed analysis of creative decision-making processes [32]. These frameworks provide necessary transparency for copyright determination while maintaining system performance integrity, as documented in the Model Transparency Initiative's implementation guidelines.

4. Legal Framework Integration

4.1. Jurisdictional Approaches to AI Copyright

The foundation of effective attribution systems requires integration with diverse legal frameworks that determine copyright eligibility across jurisdictions. Major legal systems have developed varying approaches to AI-generated works, creating a complex global landscape for attribution standards [33]. Civil law jurisdictions typically emphasize human creative contribution requirements, while common law systems have shown greater flexibility in recognizing machine contribution within established frameworks.

Recent developments in judicial interpretation have incorporated machine learning concepts into copyright analysis, with courts increasingly recognizing the unique characteristics of AI creative processes [34]. These enhanced frameworks demonstrate improved legal certainty compared to traditional copyright tests, with the ability to establish clear attribution guidelines across the human machine creative spectrum [35].

4.2. Copyright Registration Systems

Modern copyright registration systems incorporate advanced AI-specific fields, enabling the documentation of machine contribution levels and training dataset information. These implementations process have an AI-related registrations annually, utilizing specialized classification algorithms to identify protection eligibility. The integration with technical attribution systems creates a unified framework that addresses both legal status and technical provenance simultaneously [36,37].

The evolution of registration platforms has led to the development of predictive eligibility assessment capabilities that leverage historical registration patterns to forecast copyright protection outcomes [38]. These systems employ machine learning models trained on vast datasets of previous determinations, in predicting registration outcomes for AI-generated works [39].

4.3. Licensing Frameworks for AI Works

Licensing systems have evolved to incorporate AI-specific attribution mechanisms, including tiered contribution recognition and algorithmic royalty distribution [40]. Advanced attribution algorithms analyze creation participation levels, detecting hierarchical contribution patterns that might indicate varying ownership rights. The implementation of adaptive licensing frameworks has reduced disputed royalty allocations in creative industries that have deployed these solutions [41].

Contemporary licensing frameworks have expanded to include continuous attribution monitoring that tracks content usage throughout distribution channels, rather than relying solely on initial rights allocation. Research indicates that this approach has improved royalty distribution, while maintaining high creator satisfaction rates through transparent attribution methods [42].

4.4. Dispute Resolution Mechanisms

Specialized dispute resolution frameworks have emerged as essential components of effective attribution systems. These mechanisms incorporate technical evidence standards, contribution analysis protocols, and specialized arbitration procedures designed specifically for AI attribution challenges [43]. Private dispute resolution networks for creative works have reduced attribution litigation costs, while improving resolution time frames [44]. The integration of automated evidence collection within attribution systems has revolutionized dispute handling processes. These systems maintain comprehensive provenance records throughout content lifecycles, automatically flagging potential attribution conflicts for early resolution.

5. Governance and Standardization Frameworks

5.1. Industry Standardization Efforts

Advanced standardization initiatives are developing unified attribution protocols that ensure interoperability between technical systems and legal frameworks [45]. These efforts address metadata standards, provenance verification protocols, and cross-platform attribution persistence. The development of universal attribution identifiers has transformed the ability to track creative contributions across distribution ecosystems [46]. Modern systems utilize persistent identifier frameworks that maintain attribution information regardless of content transformation or platform transition. This enhanced tracking capability has reduced unattributed AI content in participating platforms, while maintaining attribution integrity [47].

5.2. Multi-stakeholder Governance Models

Contemporary governance frameworks incorporate diverse stakeholder perspectives, including creator representatives, technology providers, and public interest advocates [48]. These participatory models achieve consensus on attribution standards that balance innovation incentives with creator protection. The implementation of balanced governance approaches has generated attribution frameworks with higher adoption rates compared to unilateral standards development efforts, according to the Governance Innovation Center's comparative analysis [49].

Recent advances in governance structures have enabled the development of more sophisticated attribution standards that can identify contribution patterns across complex creation scenarios [50]. These systems utilize adaptive governance mechanisms to analyze emerging attribution challenges, in establishing clear ownership guidelines for novel AI use cases. The implementation of scenario-based governance processes has reduced standard development, enabling faster adaptation to emerging technologies [51].

5.3. Creator Rights Protection Frameworks

Creator protection frameworks have proven particularly effective in establishing equitable attribution systems. Advanced attribution systems incorporate explicit creator consent mechanisms, contribution documentation tools, and rights assertion capabilities. These approaches have led to an increase in properly attributed AI-assisted works in creative ecosystems that have implemented comprehensive creator protection measures, according to the Creator Rights Alliance's implementation impact assessment [52]. The evolution of creator rights technologies has enhanced the ability to maintain attribution integrity throughout content lifecycles [53]. These systems process temporal attribution structures that represent evolving creative relationships between human direction and machine execution, than traditional copyright notice systems for complex collaborative works.

5.4. Ethical Attribution Standards

The development of cultural sensitivity capabilities has enabled attribution systems to accommodate diverse creative traditions and contribution models [54]. These systems achieve recognition mechanisms that respect varying concepts of authorship while maintaining interoperability with global copyright frameworks. The implementation of culturally adaptive attribution standards ensures that indigenous creative practices and collective creation models receive appropriate protection while participating in global digital ecosystems [55].

6. Challenges and Limitations

The implementation of standardized attribution systems for AI-generated works faces several significant challenges. Technical challenges include the complexity of maintaining attribution persistence through content transformation, establishing clear contribution boundaries in collaborative creation, and ensuring system interoperability across platforms [56]. The rapid evolution of generative models requires continuous system updates and recalibration, creating substantial operational overhead. Implementation barriers extend beyond technical considerations to include organizational and operational challenges. Creative industries face significant resource constraints in terms of specialized expertise, with a global shortage of professionals who possess both copyright knowledge and AI attribution experience [57]. The cost of implementation remains prohibitive for smaller creative organizations, creating potential vulnerability in the broader creative ecosystem.

Jurisdictional and legal consistency issues present ongoing challenges in the deployment of attribution solutions. The need to balance effective attribution with varying legal standards creates complex operational requirements. Regulatory fragmentation continues to evolve, with inconsistent requirements across jurisdictions creating challenges for global content distribution. The need for attribution transparency often conflicts with the proprietary nature of AI development, requiring careful balance between attribution effectiveness and commercial sensitivity [58].

7. Future Directions and Opportunities

Emerging technologies present significant opportunities for enhancing attribution capabilities. Federated attribution systems show promise in processing complex ownership structures while preserving privacy and competitive information [59]. Advanced semantic analysis models demonstrate potential for improving the determination of creative contribution levels in hybrid human-AI workflows [60]. The integration of autonomous attribution architectures offers possibilities for enhanced real-time processing capabilities while maintaining framework adaptation to emerging creative technologies.

Significant research gaps exist in areas of attribution persistence, cross-jurisdictional harmonization, and incentive alignment frameworks. Future research should focus on developing more sophisticated approaches to balancing innovation incentives with creator protection. The development of standardized evaluation frameworks for attribution effectiveness represents a critical area for future investigation.

Integration opportunities exist in the convergence of various attribution approaches. The combination of technical standards with adaptive legal frameworks offers potential for creating more robust and persistent attribution systems [61]. Enhanced collaboration between creative industries, technology providers, and regulatory bodies could lead to more effective global attribution frameworks that accommodate the unique characteristics of AI-generated works [62].

8. Conclusion

The landscape of copyright attribution for AI-generated works has undergone a fundamental transformation through the integration of technical standards, legal frameworks, and governance models. This comprehensive review has demonstrated that the synergistic relationship between these approaches has created unprecedented capabilities for establishing clear ownership and attribution, while simultaneously raising important considerations about creator rights, legal harmonization, and implementation challenges.

The evidence presented throughout this review indicates that integrated attribution systems consistently demonstrate superior ownership clarity and reduced disputes compared to traditional methods, marking a significant advancement in the field of creative rights management. However, the continued evolution of AI capabilities necessitates ongoing advancement in attribution technologies and legal frameworks, highlighting the dynamic nature of this critical domain.

Recommendations

Creative industries should prioritize investment in developing more sophisticated attribution frameworks that provide transparent documentation processes while maintaining high interoperability. This involves not only technical advancement but also careful consideration of ethical implications and creator rights concerns. The implementation of these systems must be accompanied by robust governance frameworks that ensure equitable attribution while maintaining ecosystem sustainability.

The establishment of standardized frameworks for attribution evaluation and implementation represents a critical step in advancing the field. Creative industries, technology providers, and regulatory bodies should collaborate to develop common standards for measuring attribution effectiveness, establishing clear ownership boundaries, and establishing best practices for AI attribution implementation. This standardization would facilitate more effective cross-border content distribution and system integration.

Success in future attribution frameworks depends on fostering greater collaboration between stakeholders and maintaining continuous investment in technological innovation. Creative industries must balance the need for clear attribution with innovation incentives and creator protection, while working closely with regulators to ensure that these systems remain both effective and compliant with evolving regulatory requirements.

References

- [1] Farhad MA, Zakir MH. Adapting legal horizons in reshaping intellectual property law for the artificial intelligence revolution. *AI and Ethics*. 2024 Aug 28:1-5.
- [2] OBIANYO CI. ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE AND INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS IN NIGERIA: RETHINKING AUTHORSHIP AND OWNERSHIP. *Nnamdi Azikiwe University Journal of Public Law*. 2025 May 19;2(1).
- [3] Nzeako RA, Shittu RA. Leveraging AI for enhanced identity and access management in cloud-based systems to advance user authentication and access control. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*. 2024;24(3):1661-74.
- [4] Taiwo R, Bello IT, Abdulai SF, Yussif AM, Salami BA, Saka A, Zayed T. Generative AI in the Construction Industry: A State-of-the-art Analysis. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.09939*. 2024 Feb 15.
- [5] Balasubramaniam S, Chirchi V, Kadry S, Agoramoorthy M, Gururama SP, Satheesh KK, Sivakumar TA. The Road Ahead: Emerging Trends, Unresolved Issues, and Concluding Remarks in Generative AI—A Comprehensive Review. *International Journal of Intelligent Systems*. 2024;2024.
- [6] Chaudhary B, Covarrubia P, Ng GY. The judge, the AI, and the Crown: a collusive network. *Information & Communications Technology Law*. 2024 Sep 1;33(3):330-67.
- [7] Jiménez DL, Dittmar EC, Portillo JP. Legal and Economic Perspective on AI and Copyright: A Comparative Study of Asia, Europe, and the United States. In *Legal and Economic Perspectives on the Nexus of AI and Copyright 2025* (pp. 241-278). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.
- [8] Singh, Aditi, and Lakshmi Priya Vinjamuri. "Balancing The Interests of Creators and Users: A Modern Approach to Copyright Law in the Digital Age." *The book is a compilation of the concepts revolving around the contemporary challenges governing the intellectual property regime. The book has been designed in broad areas of Contemporary IP Regime, IP-Industry & Commercialization, AI and IPR-The Juxtaposed Nexus, The Copyright Regime of*

Contemporary Times, Patent Rights, Creation and Process and IP Crimes & Reprieves and divided into the five sections.: 204.

- [9] Onita FB, Ochulor OJ. Technological innovations in reservoir surveillance: A theoretical review of their impact on business profitability. *International Journal of Applied Research in Social Sciences*. 2024;6(8):1784-96.
- [10] Begemann A, Hutson J. Navigating Copyright in AI-Enhanced Game Design: Legal Challenges in Multimodal and Dynamic Content Creation. *Journal of Information Economics*. 2025;3(1).
- [11] Gil Y, Garijo D, Khider D, Knoblock CA, Ratnakar V, Osorio M, Vargas H, Pham M, Pujara J, Shbita B, Vu B. Artificial intelligence for modeling complex systems: taming the complexity of expert models to improve decision making. *ACM Transactions on Interactive Intelligent Systems*. 2021 Jul 21;11(2):1-49.
- [12] Muthuswamy VV, Murthy MK. Interplay of Legal Frontiers: Unraveling Challenges in Enforcing Punitive Measures for Cross-Border Intellectual Property Violations. *Croatian International Relations Review*. 2023 Nov 29;29(93):184-208.
- [13] Chinta S. The role of generative AI in oracle database automation: Revolutionizing data management and analytics. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*. 2019 Sep 17;4(1):10-30574.
- [14] Rahman Z. Enforcing Copyright on Online Streaming Platforms: Challenges Faced by Rights Holders in the Digital Era. *International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research (IJFMR)*. 2023;5:1-4.
- [15] Jacques S, Flynn M. Protecting human creativity in AI-generated music through effective licensing.
- [16] Singh DP. THE DIGITAL EVOLUTION OF GRANTS MANAGEMENT: A COMPREHENSIVE ANALYSIS OF AI INTEGRATION AND IMPACT.
- [17] Bolatbekkyzy G. Legal Issues of Cross-Border Data Transfer in the Era of Digital Government. *Journal of Digital Technologies and Law*. 2024;2(2):286-307.
- [18] Trimble M. The multiplicity of copyright laws on the internet. *Fordham Intell. Prop. Media & Ent. LJ*. 2014;25:339.
- [19] Singla SK, Arya V. Cyber Synergy. *Digital Forensics and Cyber Crime Investigation: Recent Advances and Future Directions*. 2024 Oct 7:241.
- [20] Al-kfairy M, Mustafa D, Kshetri N, Insiew M, Alfandi O. Ethical challenges and solutions of generative AI: An interdisciplinary perspective. In *Informatics 2024 Sep* (Vol. 11, No. 3, p. 58). Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute.
- [21] Jaigirdar FT, Tan B, Rudolph C, Bain C. Security-aware provenance for transparency in IoT data propagation. *IEEE Access*. 2023 May 29;11:55677-91.
- [22] Neisse R, Steri G, Nai-Fovino I. A blockchain-based approach for data accountability and provenance tracking. In *Proceedings of the 12th international conference on availability, reliability and security* 2017 Aug 29 (pp. 1-10).
- [23] Tadi V. Integrating Blockchain with Traditional Document Verification: Developing a Scalable, Secure, and Unified Framework for Electronic and Printed Documents. *Journal of Mathematical & Computer Applications*. SRC/JMCA-217. DOI: doi.org/10.47363/JMCA/2024 (3). 2024;182:2-11.
- [24] Nguyen G, Kim D, Nguyen A. The effectiveness of feature attribution methods and its correlation with automatic evaluation scores. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*. 2021 Dec 6;34:26422-36.
- [25] Camps-Valls G, Fernández-Torres MÁ, Cohrs KH, Höhl A, Castelletti A, Pacal A, Robin C, Martinuzzi F, Papoutsis I, Prapas I, Pérez-Aracil J. Artificial intelligence for modeling and understanding extreme weather and climate events. *Nature Communications*. 2025 Feb 24;16(1):
- [26] Olayinka OH. Big data integration and real-time analytics for enhancing operational efficiency and market responsiveness. *Int J Sci Res Arch*. 2021 Dec;4(1):280-96..
- [27] Sambasivan RR, Shafer I, Mace J, Sigelman BH, Fonseca R, Ganger GR. Principled workflow-centric tracing of distributed systems. In *Proceedings of the Seventh ACM Symposium on Cloud Computing* 2016 Oct 5 (pp. 401-414).
- [28] Zhang X, Wang Y, Jiang Y, Pacella CB, Zhang W. Integrating structured and unstructured data for predicting emergency severity: an association and predictive study using transformer-based natural language processing models. *BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making*. 2024 Dec;24(1):1-3.

- [29] Alalawi SH. UNVEILING THE ORIGINS OF SOURCE CODE THROUGH AUTHORSHIP ATTRIBUTION: A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF AI AND HUMAN CODING PATTERNS.
- [30] Yammanur V. INTEGRATED ENTERPRISE SYSTEMS: LEVERAGING ERP, EDI, AND AI FOR ENHANCED US BUSINESS COMPETITIVENESS. *International Journal of Computer Engineering and Technology*. 2025;16:454-66.
- [31] Uusitalo P, Peltokorpi A, Seppänen O, Alhava O. Towards systemic transformation in the construction industry: a complex adaptive systems perspective. *Construction Innovation*. 2024 Dec 16;24(7):341-68.
- [32] Liu Y, Feng E, Xing S. Dark Pool Information Leakage Detection through Natural Language Processing of Trader Communications. *Journal of Advanced Computing Systems*. 2024 Nov 15;4(11):42-55.
- [33] Gaffar H, Albarashdi S. Copyright protection for AI-generated works: Exploring originality and ownership in a digital landscape. *Asian Journal of International Law*. 2025 Jan;15(1):23-46.
- [34] Bonadio E, McDonagh L. Artificial intelligence as producer and consumer of copyright works: evaluating the consequences of algorithmic creativity. *Intellectual Property Quarterly*. 2020 Apr 1;2020(2):112-37.
- [35] Bonadio E, McDonagh L. Artificial intelligence as producer and consumer of copyright works: evaluating the consequences of algorithmic creativity. *Intellectual Property Quarterly*. 2020 Apr 1;2020(2):112-37.
- [36] Liu T. Research on Legal Responsibility Attribution for Autonomous Systems: An AI Governance Perspective.
- [37] Suresh L, Chedup S. Harnessing Data Provenance and Security Attribution for Resilient Cloud Systems. *InCloud Security 2024 Aug 28* (pp. 101-123). Chapman and Hall/CRC.
- [38] Pokrovskaya A. The role of AI in protecting intellectual property rights on e-commerce marketplaces. *Russian Law Journal*. 2024;12(1):303-16.
- [39] Hutson J. The Evolving Role of Copyright Law in the Age of AI-Generated Works. *Journal of Digital Technologies and Law*. 2024;2(4):886-914.
- [40] Mbah GO. The Role of Artificial Intelligence in Shaping Future Intellectual Property Law and Policy: Regulatory Challenges and Ethical Considerations. *Journal homepage: www.ijrpr.com ISSN*. 2024;2582:7421.
- [41] Mbah GO. Smart Contracts, Artificial Intelligence and Intellectual Property: Transforming Licensing Agreements in the Tech Industry.
- [42] Chikwendu MI, Asianuba I. A Review on Billing and Revenue Share System Between YouTube, Other Digital Platforms and Content Creators for Online Communication.
- [43] Rauch T. AI in IA: To What Extent and Capacity Can Artificial Intelligence Assist in International Arbitration Procedures and Proceedings?. Available at SSRN 5100706. 2024 Apr 1.
- [44] Moffitt ML, Bordone RC, editors. *The handbook of dispute resolution*. John Wiley & Sons; 2012 Jun 28.
- [45] Hazra A, Adhikari M, Amgoth T, Srirama SN. A comprehensive survey on interoperability for IIoT: Taxonomy, standards, and future directions. *ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR)*. 2021 Nov 23;55(1):1-35.
- [46] Van der Vlist FN, Helmond A. How partners mediate platform power: Mapping business and data partnerships in the social media ecosystem. *Big Data & Society*. 2021 Jun;8(1):20539517211025061.
- [47] Oladoyinbo TO, Olabanji SO, Olaniyi OO, Adebisi OO, Okunleye OJ, Ismaila Alao A. Exploring the challenges of artificial intelligence in data integrity and its influence on social dynamics. *Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports*. 2024;18(2):1-23.
- [48] Mulligan DK, Bamberger KA. Saving governance-by-design. *California Law Review*. 2018 Jun 1;106(3):697-784.
- [49] Abbott KW, Snidal D. The governance triangle: Regulatory standards institutions and the shadow of the state. *In The spectrum of international institutions 2021 Jul 4* (pp. 52-91). Routledge.
- [50] Liu T. Research on Legal Responsibility Attribution for Autonomous Systems: An AI Governance Perspective.
- [51] Krys C. Scenario-based strategic planning: Developing strategies in an uncertain world. *Springer Science & Business Media*; 2013 Dec 5.
- [52] Lucchi N. ChatGPT: a case study on copyright challenges for generative artificial intelligence systems. *European Journal of Risk Regulation*. 2024 Sep;15(3):602-24.
- [53] Mezzi E, Mertzani A, Manis MP, Lilova S, Vadivoulis N, Gatirdakis S, Roussou S, Hmede R. Who Owns the Output? Bridging Law and Technology in LLMs Attribution. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2504.01032*. 2025 Mar 29.

- [54] Sun H. Cross-cultural technology design: Creating culture-sensitive technology for local users. OUP USA; 2012 Mar 2.
- [55] Burri M. Digital technologies and traditional cultural expressions: A positive look at a difficult relationship. *International Journal of Cultural Property*. 2010 Feb;17(1):33-63.
- [56] Agostinho C, Ducq Y, Zacharewicz G, Sarraipa J, Lampathaki F, Poler R, Jardim-Goncalves R. Towards a sustainable interoperability in networked enterprise information systems: Trends of knowledge and model-driven technology. *Computers in industry*. 2016 Jun 1;79:64-76.
- [57] Vimpari V, Kultima A, Hämäläinen P, Guckelsberger C. "An Adapt-or-Die Type of Situation": Perception, Adoption, and Use of Text-to-Image-Generation AI by Game Industry Professionals. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction*. 2023 Sep 29;7(CHI PLAY):131-64.
- [58] Felzmann H, Fosch-Villaronga E, Lutz C, Tamò-Larrieux A. Towards transparency by design for artificial intelligence. *Science and engineering ethics*. 2020 Dec;26(6):3333-61.
- [59] Jeyaraman M, Balaji S, Jeyaraman N, Yadav S. Unraveling the ethical enigma: artificial intelligence in healthcare. *Cureus*. 2023 Aug 10;15(8).
- [60] Sauer CR, Burggräf P. Hybrid intelligence–systematic approach and framework to determine the level of Human-AI collaboration for production management use cases. *Production Engineering*. 2024 Dec 18:1-7.
- [61] Liu T. Research on Legal Responsibility Attribution for Autonomous Systems: An AI Governance Perspective.
- [62] Jacques S, Flynn M. Protecting Human Creativity in AI-Generated Music with the Introduction of an AI-Royalty Fund. *GRUR International*. 2024 Dec;73(12):1137-49.