



(REVIEW ARTICLE)



From theory to collaborative practice: Information and communication technologies as catalysts of unity, empathy, and inclusion in schools — bridging general and special education

Christos Simos *

Department of Greek Philology, Democritus University of Thrace, Greece.

GSC Advanced Research and Reviews, 2025, 25(02), 135-154

Publication history: Received on 03 October 2025; revised on 08 November 2025; accepted on 11 November 2025

Article DOI: <https://doi.org/10.30574/gscarr.2025.25.2.0343>

Abstract

This research paper identifies Information and Communication Technologies (ICT) as one of the main factors that have brought about school environment unification, empathy, and inclusion. Bringing general and special education together to form a single focus, the study, among other things, relied heavily on modern theories of inclusive pedagogy, UDL (Universal Design for Learning), and socio-constructivist approaches. These theories guided the research work to an understanding of how digital technologies could serve as means for creating collaborative learning environments in which diversity is esteemed and equitable participation is encouraged.

The paper uses international research results and educational frameworks to provide evidence for the multidimensional role of ICT in the induction of empathy and social connectedness among pupils. Besides, the article examines the extent to which technology changes the teacher's role from a knowledge transmitter to a facilitator of collective meaning-making and emotional literacy. The ultimate goal is to envision ICT as a moral and pedagogical bridge rather than merely an instructional tool that leads to inclusive, human-centered schooling.

Keywords: Information And Communication Technologies; Inclusion; Empathy; Collaboration; Special Education; General Education; Digital Pedagogy; Social Connectedness; UDL

1 Introduction

1.1 The Concept of Inclusion in Education

The growing awareness of inclusive education as a primary right and pedagogical necessity of modern educational systems is evident in numerous educational systems across the world. It does not only mean the physical integration of disabled children in mainstream education but also focuses on the students' active participation, engagement, and accomplishment in the school community (Ainscow, 2020). The Salamanca Statement (UNESCO, 1994) was the first to recognize inclusion as the core concept of global education, while the United Nations Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (UN, 2006) confirmed this viewpoint by requiring the elimination of barriers and granting equal access to all learners.

Inclusive education is based on the social model of disability that identifies barriers in the environment, services, and community attitudes, not in a person (Oliver, 1996). Comparatively, the medical model views disability as an inherent weakness of an individual that should be fixed. Accepting the social view, inclusion thus calls for changes at the level of school culture, teaching methods, and the use of resources (Booth & Ainscow, 2016). In this way, schools are seen as the places where diversity is considered a source and not a problem and all students' experiences of learning are made richer (Florian & Black-Hawkins, 2011).

* Corresponding author: Christos Simos

According to recent studies, digital methods that conform to Universal Design for Learning (UDL) are very effective in increasing the participation and educational outcomes of the diverse student population (Villatoro Moral & Moreno-Tallón, 2025). Moreover, use of mobile and cutting-edge technology have been regarded as tools that can help create a sustainable inclusive environment, especially for students with special needs in education (Karagianni & Drigas, 2023a, 2023b).

1.2 Barriers and Challenges in Inclusive Practice

Although there is a growing recognition of inclusion as both a policy and pedagogical priority, barriers of significant magnitude still exist. Lack of sufficient training is frequently mentioned by teachers as the major hindrance to which they point out initial teacher education and professional development that do not adequately equip them to handle diverse classrooms (Avramidis & Norwich, 2002; Sharma & Loreman, 2014). Besides that, the absence of resources, such as support technologies, differentiated materials, and support personnel, impedes the proper implementation of the strategies (Peters, 2007).

The situation is exacerbated by cultural and attitudinal factors affecting inclusive practice. Thus, negative stereotypes and low expectations of students with disabilities may lead to their being left out, which in turn limits their opportunities for real participation (de Boer et al., 2011). Furthermore, structural factors like large classes and fixed curricula make it difficult for teachers to have the necessary flexibility to change their teaching methods according to the needs of different learners (Miles & Singal, 2010). The lack of systemic support which includes not only infrastructure, professional development, but also an inclusive school culture may result in even the most promising digital interventions being unsuccessful in creating sustainable benefits (Pagliara et al., 2024).

1.3 The Role of ICT and Digital Tools

Through digital technologies, education has gone a long way in accommodating diversity in education. The use of ICT is in line with the principles of UDL as it offers more than one means of representation, engagement, and expression (Rose & Meyer, 2002). Technologically enabled solutions like screen readers, speech-to-text systems, hearing aids, and augmentative and alternative communication (AAC) devices are the means by which the students with sensory, cognitive, or motor limitations can not only get access to learning materials but also communicate in an efficient way (Alper & Raharinirina, 2006).

By constantly gathering learners' data and performing analysis in real time Artificial Intelligence (AI) can tailor-make learning content by modifying the substance, velocity, and format to suit each learner (Drigas & Mitsea, 2021). Hence, the tools are capable of lessening the dependence on the conventional single-faceted mode of teaching which is unable to cater for all students, thus making differentiation and personalized support feasible.

Besides, Virtual and Augmented Reality (VR/AR) can be considered as leading-edge technologies that can be effectively utilized in the implementation of the inclusive educational process. They not only help go through skill practice but also provide innovative content as well as social-emotional learning.

One of the empirical educations (Frontiers in Education, 2025) has found and reported that children with developmental dyslexia and autism spectrum disorder improve their reading fluency and social interaction via VR interventions, while AR-based approaches raise comprehension, motivation, and collaborative skills in inclusive classrooms.

Finally, we want to emphasize the value of all digital technologies in the field of education. ICTs enable universal education, provide new techniques for effective teacher training, enhance memory retention, promote cooperation, increase transparency, develop learner-centered strategies, develop new teaching techniques, and quicken learning. Additionally, through virtualization, mobilization, artificial intelligence, and new learning environments-worlds, give new instruments for knowledge representation and support educational activities and methodologies. More specifically, ICTs are very effective and productive facilitating and improving the assessment, intervention, and educational procedures via mobile devices that bring educational activities everywhere [85-88] and through a variety of ICT applications that are the main supporters of education [89-103]. While games turn education into a multimodal, incredibly amiable, and enjoyable interaction, the use of AI, STEM, and ROBOTICS raises educational procedures into new levers of adaptation, creativity, and performance [104-111]. Additionally, the adoption, enhancement, and blending of ICTs with theories and models of metacognition, mindfulness, meditation, and emotional intelligence cultivation [112-128] brings the mental abilities to the center of the educational procedures and policies, and as a result, accelerates and improves the educational practices and outcomes.

1.4 Teacher Preparedness and Professional Development

The effective use of ICT in an inclusive education setting is mainly dependent on teacher readiness and competence. Educators should not only be digitally literate but also have the pedagogical knowledge necessary to integrate technology effectively in an inclusive practice (Edyburn, 2020). Teachers who have a positive attitude towards both technology and inclusion are more likely to take up innovative practices, while lack of professional development may result in the low use of assistive tools and the continuation of traditional methods (Sharma & Loreman, 2014).

Drigas and Ioannidou (2013) argue that the aspects of lifelong learning and digital literacy are of great importance and suggest that teacher training institutions should consider ICT competencies as the core elements of inclusive pedagogy. Therefore, continuous professional development, which is also facilitated by institutional frameworks and policy provisions, is the mainstay of digitally inclusive education. Recent research also shows that AI and advanced digital tools knowledge can be a major factor in not only the right but also the ethical technology use in inclusive classrooms (Pagliara et al., 2024).

1.5 Research Purpose and Hypotheses

Purpose: The present research is a manifestation of the interest in finding out how ICT integration can foster inclusion, empathy, and collaboration in 21st-century classrooms, particularly, how it can help to merge general and special education. Besides, the investigation into the issue of teacher preparedness, professional development, and ethical considerations in digitally facilitated inclusive practices is part of the work as well.

1.5.1 Research Hypotheses

- The employment of ICT instruments that are in harmony with UDL standards leads to higher student participation, engagement, and learning outcomes at the level of a variety of learner profiles.
- Teacher preparedness and professional development strongly influence the effectiveness of ICT-mediated inclusive practices.
- The ethical and culturally responsive design of ICT intervention materials contributes to the social-emotional learning, empathy, and collaborative skills of the students in the inclusive classroom setting.

2 Theoretical Framework: ICT, Inclusion, and Pedagogical Paradigms

Technology's educational role is not limited to its instrumental or functional aspect only. The present research treats it as a cultural, social, and philosophical catalyst that changes the people's way of interaction, knowledge construction, and participation in communal life. Besides that, ICT (Information and Communication Technologies) are knowledge access facilitators; they also become inclusion, empathy, and collective transformation mediators in learning contexts.

Theoretical paradigms discussed in this paper are the following:

- Socio-Constructivism which recognizes learning as a collaborative and dialogical process;
- Inclusive Pedagogy which sees diversity as a learning source instead of an obstacle;
- Universal Design for Learning (UDL) that provides a framework for accessible curriculum design; and
- Digital empathy that extends emotional inclusion in technologically mediated learning.

These frameworks together clarify the position of ICT as a unifying, cooperative, and mutual understanding catalyst agency between general and special education.

2.1 Socio-Constructivism and Collaborative Learning

Socio-constructivism considers learning as an intrinsically social process. Vygotsky (1978) stresses that cognitive growth happens in the Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD) - the region where learners reach a higher level of skill with the help and interaction of others. ICT in the new-age digital world helps to take the ZPD outside the school thus making collaborative work possible without the limitations of time and place (Mercer & Howe, 2012; Stahl, 2021).

There are plenty of modern tools such as collaborative documents, digital whiteboards, discussion forums, and synchronous conferencing that enable interaction between students and teachers where they construct meaning together by using these tools. Such instruments bring to life the dialogs, the reflective inquiry, and the peer scaffolding that deepen not only the understanding from the cognitive side but also from the emotional one (Laurillard, 2012; Beetham & Sharpe, 2019). In the digital conversation, which is a multimodal one – whereby the text, voice, visuals, and

interactivity are combined, more communication styles are accommodated, and hence students with disabilities may express their ideas through different formats and thus participate more fully (Edyburn, 2020).

The socio-constructivist digital pedagogy also redefines power dynamics in the classroom. It changes learning from teacher-centered instruction to student-centered participation thus leading to the establishment of a participatory culture (Jenkins et al., 2016). Teachers become facilitators and co-learners — "designers of learning experiences" instead of being the only source of knowledge (Laurillard, 2012). In such communities of inquiry, diversity becomes the collective treasure. ICT, therefore, is not only a means of communication but a socio-cultural mediator that organizes interaction and inclusion promotion (Fullan & Langworthy, 2014).

2.2 Inclusive Pedagogy and Diversity as a Resource

The inclusive pedagogy considers human variability as a normal thing that has value. Instead of creating education for an average learner which is just a conception, its primary aim is to satisfy the needs of diverse people through the use of flexible strategies and mutual participation (Florian & Black-Hawkins, 2011; Booth & Ainscow, 2011). In this way, inclusion becomes a communicational and ethical one — the joint responsibility of all teachers and learners.

The ICT tools allow educators to customize teaching methods and at the same time keep experiences shared. Adaptive learning systems, multimedia resources, and collaborative software are full of engagement, representation, and expression — which are the three main components of Universal Design for Learning (UDL) (CAST, 2018; Rose & Dalton, 2009). For instance, digital storytelling can serve the needs of visual, auditory, and kinesthetic learners at the same time, and in this way, it provides fair participation for students with and without disabilities (Florian, 2015; Hehir et al., 2016).

Inclusion goes beyond cognitive access and has a relational element as well. Learning is linked with empathy and respect for the point of view of others. The Internet platforms may help in establishing mutual recognition that allows students to get involved without the stigmatization due to their physical appearance, disability, or linguistic difference (Noddings, 2012; Zembylas, 2021). Therefore, virtual collaboration can offer the required psychological safety and feeling of being part, which are the bases for meaningful participation (Cavanagh & Prescott, 2021).

Moreover, the latest research indicates that teacher co-agency and professional collaboration supported by ICT also contribute to inclusive pedagogy. Introducing "ICT-empowered innovative pedagogy" in teacher development activities is one of the main reasons behind classroom practices becoming more equitable (UNESCO IITE, n.d.; Ioannidi, 2023). The joint designing of inclusive digital lessons by teachers is the way they demonstrate solidarity and shared accountability — thus, they are the reflection of the inclusive culture for which they are the agents among the students.

2.3 Universal Design for Learning (UDL) and Technological Mediation

Universal Design for Learning (CAST, 2018) is the framework that provides the fundamental structure for inclusive pedagogy. The three principles of UDL — multiple means of engagement, representation, and action/expression — are perfectly in line with the possibilities of ICT.

Digital technologies offer the opportunity to plan for diversity in a proactive way and not as a retrofitted accommodation (Florian & Beaton, 2018). Students with disabilities can benefit from accessibility-inducing tools such as screen readers, text-to-speech systems, and closed captioning. The latest innovations — like augmented and virtual reality — are changing the way that even the most complex content can be presented as a real and immersive experience and, thus, making conceptual learning accessible for students with cognitive or sensory impairments (Al-Azawei et al., 2017; Edyburn, 2020).

However, mere accessibility cannot be a guarantee of inclusion. Real inclusion is dependent on pedagogical intentionality: technology should be part of learning experiences that naturally provoke collaboration and emotional involvement. Hence, UDL is not only a technical guideline but also a moral stance which advocates for the dignity and agency of every learner.

Several new studies are supporting the argument for UDL's role in digital learning environments. Almeqdad (2023) in his systematic review on UDL posits that the framework is very effective to engage learners and their persistence in different settings. Kooli (2025), on the contrary, cautions that there might be some ethical issues such as algorithmic bias and data privacy which, if not resolved, could cause the reappearance of inequality. Both these views taken together serve as a reminder for educators that inclusion is both an ethical imperative and a design challenge.

2.4 Digital Empathy and Emotional Inclusion

Emotional inclusion, i.e., the feeling of being valued, understood, and connected, is equally important as cognitive access. The newly formed term digital empathy is aimed at representing the emotional aspect of online learning. It is a skill that involves the recognition of the emotional state of others and the appropriate response to it when communication is through the digital media (Belman & Flanagan, 2010; Riordan & Kreuz, 2010).

In the case of inclusive classrooms, digital empathy is emotionally responsive communication and peer support. Teachers demonstrate empathy by giving on-point feedback through virtual channels and at the same time, peers, through the use of friendly and respectful interaction, cooperate (Berns et al., 2021). The research shows that empathy-oriented online communities are the sources of student engagement, feeling of belonging, and resilience (Manca, 2020; Barr, 2018).

With the help of ICT, empathy can also be experiential and this can be achieved through immersive experiences. Virtual reality simulations help learners to see and feel the world from the different point of view than theirs - for example, the sensory world of a person with autism or a visual impairment (Schutte & Stilinović, 2017). The research continues along this line and asserts that digital empathy-based programs have a positive effect on the decrease of bullying behavior and increase of prosocial tendencies among adolescents (Papoutsis, 2023; Mateus-Berr, 2021).

On a conceptual level, digital empathy has something in common with the ethics of care (Noddings, 2012). It makes technology more human by incorporating emotion and moral awareness into digital communication. Hence, ICT is not only a means to efficiency but also a medium for solidarity, compassion, and collective well-being.

2.5 ICT, Co-Agency, and Systemic Inclusion

Several recent worldwide investigations converge to the point that, globally, inclusion through technology is not possible without systemic collaboration. The European Agency for Special Needs and Inclusive Education (2023) enumerates three preconditions for the successfully executed ICT-for-Inclusion (ICT4I) strategies: (a) pedagogical redesign, (b) teacher digital competence, and (c) supportive institutional culture. If these are absent, digital inclusion is nothing but a facade.

Furthermore, teacher and student co-agency - the shared authorship of learning - is very essential (UNESCO IITE, n.d.). Co-creation of content by educators and students is the process which makes technology to be the tool to establish a dialogue between authority and autonomy, rather than the bridge itself. Co-agency in inclusive schools is the back-up for collaborative teacher models (general + special educator + technology specialist) thus, they can guarantee not only continuity but collective professionalism as well (Teachers Institute, 2023).

Here, empathy is not only of an interpersonal nature but is rather infrastructural—built-in the systems and workflows. Adaptive learning platforms, reflective e-portfolios, and social learning networks are just a few examples of technology that is capable of embedding inclusiveness into everyday practice. The recent comparative studies between Portugal and Romania (Duarte et al., 2023) show that different culture and context factors influence the emergence of digital empathy thus, localizing the approach to global inclusion frameworks is necessary.

2.6 The Pedagogical Triad: Technology, Empathy, and Collaboration

The integration of these points of view brings forward a pedagogical triad which comprises three interrelated elements: technology, empathy, and collaboration forming the core of inclusive digital education. ICT is not a final but a means of human connection,

Empathy acts as the affective element that holds collaboration together, while UDL offers the structural elements which provide for fair participation. Teachers who work under this model have to balance their technical proficiency with their ethical sensitivity thus, they cultivate what Ribble (2021) refers to as “digital citizenship.” The approach enables students to take up the role of digital spaces not only as users but also as responsible, critical, and compassionate ones.

Therefore, the digital classroom becomes a moral community,

Where inclusion goes beyond the physical or curricular access, it becomes the lived experience of belonging and shared humanity facilitated through technology. With the help of ICT and grounded in socio-constructivism, inclusive pedagogy, UDL, and digital empathy, it thus represents a philosophy of unity unchanging to the fact that participation and understanding are the ways to bridge diversity.

3 Bridging General and Special Education: Collaborative Pedagogy and Empathy

3.1 Rethinking the Divide: From Segregation to Synergy

Long-term general and special education have been developed along tightly linked but separate lines. Special education was mainly seen as a field that supports, focusing on the areas of understanding and providing individualized intervention, while general education was more concerned with standardized curricula and teaching a large group (Florian, 2015; Norwich, 2014). This division strengthened the barriers, both institutional and cultural, that were enabled to see difference as lack.

The inclusive movements of today are a different story as they question the existence of such a dividing line. After the UNESCO Salamanca Statement (1994) and the UN Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (2006), inclusion has changed from being a goal of the policy to an ethical and pedagogical requirement. The new model intends to have synergy instead of separation – a concept of education as one system that is a living unit and can therefore easily adapt to its inherent variability (Ainscow, 2020; Slee, 2018).

Technology plays the role of a bridge and catalyst simultaneously in this overhaul. Different kinds of digital platforms, the use of technology, and the software for collaboration in the working environment enable the general and special educators to be responsible for all learners together (Edyburn, 2020; European Agency, 2023). The end of the process is not to "put" students with disabilities in the mainstream settings but to co-design learning ecologies in which accessibility, participation, and belonging are not just the key goals but the structural elements of these settings (Florian & Beaton, 2018; Almeqdad, 2023).

3.2 Collaborative Pedagogy: The Ethics of Co-Teaching and Co-Learning

Collaboration, the essence of bridging practices, is at the core of the well-functioning co-teaching models. In brief, collaborating in teaching general and special educators working in the same school, together plan, instruct, and assess, thus, inclusion is the daily classroom life's operationalization embodiment (Friend & Cook, 2020). Co-teaching successfully is a shared mindset, non-stop communication, and expertise distribution (Murawski & Bernhardt, 2021). ICT is an element that helps teachers do their work better; shared digital planning areas, cloud-based IEPs, and synchronous communication tools are among them, and all are available for teachers and specialists to get feedback from each other in real-time (Lynch & Corbett, 2022).

In addition to collaboration on a structural level, co-learning changes the teacher-student relationship concept. Based on the social constructivism of Vygotsky and the experiential learning of Dewey, co-learning allows teachers to be the examples of the research and the weaknesses they have together with the students (Mercer & Howe, 2012; Laurillard, 2012). In an inclusive digital classroom, such a return can be enhanced through platforms that show learning transparently – e-portfolios, common blogs, or project management tools – thus permitting reflection, peer evaluation, and joint authorship (Beetham & Sharpe, 2019; Fullan & Langworthy, 2014).

So, collaborative pedagogy stands for distributed professionalism (Florian, 2021) i.e., rather than being a small group of specialized silos, expertise is collective. Teachers, psychologists, and support staff can be seen as learning communities that create adaptive strategies based on ongoing evidence and student voice (Hoppey & Mickelson, 2020; Hargreaves & Fullan, 2020).

3.3 Empathy as Pedagogical Infrastructure

Empathy, consisting of cognitive, emotional, and compassionate elements, is the moral infrastructure of collaborative inclusiveness (Noddings, 2012; Zembylas, 2021). When educators can empathize with colleagues and students, they get beyond the categories from the diagnosis and tackle difference with curiosity instead of judgment.

In the recent studies, professional collective empathy is pointed out as one of the main competencies for inclusive teamwork (Barr, 2018; Berns et al., 2021). Empathic teachers willingly involve themselves in perspective-taking dialogues, employ reflective digital journals, and co-create understanding of the students' real-life experiences (Papoutsis, 2023). ICT may help with this process: online empathy training, digital storytelling, and captivating simulations give teachers the experience of disability from the inside to the outside (Schutte & Stilinović, 2017; Mateus-Berr, 2021).

Empathy also changes the way of doing assessment. In a co-taught situation, empathic assessment emphasizes growth narratives most of all and is supported by multimedia portfolios and student-voice recordings that present academic

progress holistically (Booth & Ainscow, 2011; Florian & Beaton, 2018). These methods are very much in agreement with the ethics of care tradition, where the understanding comes first and the evaluation is based on the relationship as the learning venue (Noddings, 2012).

3.4 ICT as a Collaborative Ecosystem

Present-day inclusion cannot be thought of without the digital revolution that came along. Information Communication Technology (ICT) is the supporter of the multi-tiered collaboration concept

– teachers, specialists, parents, and students – through which the platforms are ready to accommodate communication and data synchronization (UNESCO IITE, n.d.; Teachers Institute, 2023). The instances are IEP management tools based on the cloud, learning analytics panels that are adaptive, and virtual classrooms that have been made accessible (Kooli, 2025).

Technology-enabled collaboration is found by different studies that have been done in Europe and Asia to be an efficient way of differentiation and also teacher isolation relief (Duarte et al., 2023; Ioannidi, 2023). Educators can plan universal and individualized supports in a collaborative digital design session that merges curriculum flexibility with therapeutic expertise. Students can benefit from collaborative digital tools (e.g., Padlet, Miro, Google Workspace) as these tools support peer tutoring and reciprocal mentoring and thereby make the formation of empathy between different groups of abilities easy (Stahl, 2021; Manca, 2020).

Nevertheless, digital collaboration also exposes certain ethical and practical issues, such as privacy, access equity, and mental overload (Kooli, 2025). Handling them involves building up critical digital literacy: teachers should be responsible when they manage data, thus inclusion should not be a victim of surveillance or bias (Ribble, 2021; Erstad & Voogt, 2021). Consequently, the process of bridging general and special education is a call for both technological and moral fluency.

3.5 Professional Learning Communities and Reflective Co-Inquiry

The inclusion that can be kept for a long time is mainly dependent on continuance of professional dialogue. Educators' Professional Learning Communities (PLCs) provide the atmosphere for the teachers to take part in an endless process of inquiry – figuring out the obstacles, trying out the solutions, and reflecting on the results together (Stoll et al., 2021). ICT makes PLCs not only local but also global, thus, creating transnational communities of practice via webinars, MOOCs, and social networks (Trust & Carpenter, 2020).

The advantages of engaging in inclusive PLCs are the following: shared reflection enhances empathy, helps to lower the risk of burnout, and fosters the establishment of common pedagogical language (Hargreaves & Fullan, 2020; Lehtonen et al., 2022). The means of digital ethnography, cooperative action research, and reflective video analysis enable teachers to observe the interactions happening in the classroom and, thereby, they become able to interpret the affective cues of the students together (Bocconi et al., 2022). Such data-driven reflection transforms ICT from a technical medium into a mirror for inclusion—revealing patterns of participation, silence, and exclusion that might otherwise remain invisible.

The role of the institution in providing support is very significant: schools that create time and leadership for collaborative inquiry are more aligned in general and special education teams (Ainscow, 2020; Florian, 2021). Leadership should not only demonstrate empathy but also give psychological safety for the time of experiment and mistake, accepting inclusion as an iterative, learning-by-doing process.

3.6 Parental and Community Partnerships

Cooperation between education sectors through linking schools is the first step to be thinking beyond the school walls. The ecosystem that is inclusive cannot do without the support and contribution of families and community partners (Epstein, 2018; Slee, 2018). ICT bridges these connections via apps for communication, digital portfolios, and tools for translation that help educators to reach out to parents who have differences in language and culture (Eynon & Maloney, 2021).

The shared digital spaces make it easy for parents to assume the role of co-educators by giving them instantaneous access to the learning progress and involvement (European Agency, 2023). For kids who have special educational needs, collaborative efforts between the family and school, fortified by ICT, help to create a bridge between the therapeutic and academic aims (Hehir et al., 2016). Community partnerships, e.g., local NGOs giving on the assistive technology or

mentorship opportunities, not only bring inclusion to the area of the public but also set it as a collective civic responsibility (UNESCO, 2020).

In the multi-stakeholder model, empathy again plays the role of the connecting element: trust-building between families and educators that technology is at the service of human connection and not for replacing it (Zembylas, 2021; Barr, 2018).

3.7 Toward a Unified Culture of Inclusion

General and special education integration through cooperation and coordination is ultimately a call for cultural transformation rather than procedural reforms. Inclusion should be considered the main principle of pedagogy, not a specialized service (Florian, 2021). The unified culture formation is facilitated by digital collaboration, empathy, and shared reflection as the means.

The amalgamation of ICT and empathy changes the face of school as communities of care and innovation. Diversity becomes the generative force of learning—where every student contributes to collective understanding, and every teacher learns through difference. Technology links the minds, empathy links the hearts, thus the 2 are co-engines of inclusive education for the 21st century.

4 Practical Applications: Technology as a Bridge for Human Inclusion at Recess

Recess in school is a different world within formal education, where informal learning, social interaction, and peer engagement happen naturally. Although recess is rarely considered in traditional inclusive education research, it can be a crucial place for students to develop empathy, collaboration, and relational inclusion among the children of different abilities (Mamas, 2025). Using technology in such a situation opens new ways to bridge the social differences, thus enabling the interactions that are essential but could be limited by physical, cognitive, or social barriers. Studies show that technology can be a source of entertainment; however, if it is implemented in a thoughtful manner, it can become a means to create inclusive social experiences, thus leading to peer engagement and collective problem-solving (Navas-Bonilla et al., 2025; Samaniego López et al., 2025).

4.1 From Theory to Pedagogical Praxis

Information and Communication Technologies (ICT) integration in schools has been identified as a major move to promote empathy, unity, and inclusion in educational communities (Ertmer & Ottenbreit-Leftwich, 2010; Selwyn, 2016). Being based on socio-constructivist theory, ICT provides opportunities for students to build knowledge in a collaborative way, have a meaningful dialogue, and be involved in co-creative processes (Vygotsky, 1978; Bruner, 1996). In this sense, digital tools are seen not only as instructional means but also as intermediaries of social learning and emotional engagement (Hew & Cheung, 2014).

Socio-constructivist methods focus on the main feature of learning, which is interaction, where students through negotiation of meaning and joint problem-solving get to internalize knowledge (Vygotsky, 1978). ICT makes this possible by offering platforms for collaborative activities such as online discussion forums, collaborative documents, and interactive whiteboards through which students can express their views, evaluate ideas and arrive at the understanding which is shared (Hew & Cheung, 2014; Dillenbourg, 1999). Moreover, the exposure to the viewpoints of others through digital collaboration contributes to social awareness and empathy as learners become able to recognize and respect the feelings and experiences of their fellow learners (Miller et al., 2020).

The Universal Design for Learning (UDL) model is a good match for socio-constructivist pedagogical approach as it supports the idea of providing learners with a variety of ways to enable them to acquire knowledge and develop the skills irrespective of their abilities and needs (CAST, 2018; Rao, Ok, & Bryant, 2014). With the help of ICT, the UDL principles can be fulfilled through multimedia resources, adaptive learning platforms and also through digitally accessible content which together contribute not only to the removal of the barriers but also to inclusivity (Meyer, Rose, & Gordon, 2014). The provision of different modes of engagement, representation, and expression by the use of digital tools allows learners who have different cognitive, linguistic, or physical challenges to get equal access to the curriculum (CAST, 2018; Rao et al., 2014).

Besides that, environments that are ICT-mediated can develop emotional intelligence and empathy through the simulation of real social situations (Dede, 2009). Students who use VR (virtual reality), AR (augmented reality), and role-playing simulations become deeply involved in the experiences through which they get to understand, to be empathetic, and also to do their ethical reasoning (Bailenson, 2018; Melero et al., 2020). To illustrate, VR scenarios in

which learners take the role of those from the marginalized background can lead to the deepening of the understanding of inequalities in the society thus making the learners to be prosocial in their behavior and have inclusive attitude (Melero et al., 2020).

Practically speaking, it requires a lot of instructional design work to convert these theoretical insights into pedagogy. Educators have to ensure that learning goals, together with the ICT affordances, are in line and technology is there to support interaction scaffolding of the users and not replacing them (Ertmer & Ottenbreit-Leftwich, 2010). Engagement through the use of structured collaborative tasks, reflective activities, and multimodal resources can be the ways through which learners come to be cognitively, socially, and emotionally engaged thus gaining the understanding of the interconnection between academic achievement, empathy, and inclusive participation (Johnson & Johnson, 2009; Dillenbourg, 1999).

4.2 Designing Digital Collaborative Environments

The core of digital collaborative environments lies in the proper integration of technological tools with pedagogical strategies to promote meaningful interaction, shared responsibility, and present the inclusive participation of the members (Johnson & Johnson, 2009; Dillenbourg, 1999). Platforms like Google Workspace, Microsoft Teams, Padlet, and Learning Management System are Some of the most popular ICT tools which facilitate collaboration as they allow both synchronous and asynchronous communication, content creation which is shared, and peer feedback (Hew & Cheung, 2014; O'Dowd, 2018).

A very important concept participating in the design of collaborative environments is that of role clarity which is aimed at ensuring that participants have equal rights and are accountable for their actions. Finding ways through digital tools that assess participation and give feedback can the process of rotating roles – as facilitator, recorder, or synthesizer – be supported thus leading to the engagement, reflection, and self-regulation of learners (Dillenbourg, 1999; Caldwell et al., 2019). The structures in question motivate learners to have an active involvement and at the same time acknowledge the point of view and the effort of their fellow learners thus leading to the growth of empathy and social cohesion (Miller et al., 2020).

Psychological safety is also among the most significant factors. Social anxiety can be decreased and the effects of the power hierarchy eliminated by digital platforms through features such as anonymous feedback, customizable avatars, and guided discussion prompts (Caldwell et al., 2019; Hew & Cheung, 2014). The use of such attributes guarantees that every student including those usually marginalized and shy will have the opportunity to make meaningful contributions which in turn lead to the growth of inclusive learning communities (Rao et al., 2014).

Interaction across different cultures and schools can be a step further in the use of ICT-mediated environments to develop empathy and the feeling of being a citizen of the world. Virtual exchange programs, international collaborative projects, and shared inquiry platforms are some of the modes through which students get to be in touch with their peers from different socio-cultural backgrounds thus challenging their assumptions and widening their viewpoints (O'Dowd, 2018; Dede, 2009). These experiences serve as examples of how ICT can be a practical tool for the realization of inclusion and unity, not only at the local level but at the global one as well.

The assessment as well as the feedback systems that are a part of electronic learning environments are the last but not least factor that contributes to learning outcomes and inclusiveness. Learning analytics, instruments of peer evaluation, and teacher dashboards are the means through which teachers can keep track of participation, discover the areas where engagement is low, and implement targeted interventions (Hew & Cheung, 2014; Johnson & Johnson, 2009). The continual data-driven efforts to make the changes ensure that the collaborative digital spaces are still fair, attractive to the users and respond to their diverse needs.

To sum up, the creation of digital collaborative learning environments involves a layered process where socio-constructivist pedagogy, UDL principles, and technology-based support have to be combined. When such settings are put into practice in a proper manner, they work as instruments of social cohesion, learner's compassionate capacity, and their participation in the community which is inclusive, thus they go beyond being mere theoretical entities. Understanding of emotional and social issues through the use of ICT and methods of connecting general with special education will be the themes of the next chapters that will develop upon these points.

4.3 ICT for Emotional and Social Inclusion

The use of ICT tools is an imperative step towards emotional and social inclusion in school systems. In addition to technology-mediated interventions that nurture cognitive development among students, they can also promote social-

emotional competences, empathy, and the feeling of being a part of the community (Durlak et al., 2011; Melero et al., 2020). Digital platforms are places where students feel safe, and thus, they become more open to sharing their emotions, experiences, and even taking part in perspective-sharing activities which in turn lead to the creation of inclusive school climates (Caldwell et al., 2019).

One major use of ICT is digital storytelling which enables students to express their personal experiences through the use of various types of media such as text, images, audio, and video (Robin, 2008). Learners in the process of creating and sharing their stories become empathetic to themselves and others, see the different points of view, and take part in reflective dialogue (Miller et al., 2020). Besides, digital storytelling has found its way particularly well into the implementation of the inclusive concept in the classroom where students with diverse abilities and backgrounds are given the chance to vocalize themselves through the different multimodal formats (Rao et al., 2014).

Another developing method is the use of Virtual Reality (VR) for the purpose of empathy education. Immersive VR scenarios open doors for the students to experience the situations from the point of view of the peers who are socially, culturally, or physically challenged (Bailenson, 2018). For example, through virtual reality students get to be one of the persons with disabilities or one of the members of the marginalized group which in turn brings about emotional understanding and the establishment of prosocial behavior among these students (Melero et al., 2020; Herrera et al., 2018). These kinds of experiences aim at bridging the gap between the ethical concepts which are abstract and their practical implementation as a part of social inclusion and empathy development (Herrera et al., 2018).

Moreover, ICT facilitates the process of collaboration among peers and mentoring through the use of social platforms, forums, and cooperative applications. Online peer mentoring schemes are the avenues through which students gain academic and emotional support from each other, thus leading to the establishment of relational trust and the feeling of being included (Johnson & Johnson, 2009; O'Dowd, 2018). The platforms that have the built-in features for accessibility support the students who have special needs make a full participation in activities which in turn can be the revival of the engagement and social cohesion that are equity in nature (CAST, 2018).

Besides that, gamification of the learning process, as well as the use of SEL (social-emotional learning) apps can contribute to the development of skills related to emotional regulation, collaboration, and empathy in an interactive way (Durlak et al., 2011). On platforms such as Classcraft or Empathic, collaborative challenges and scenario-based tasks are integrated with the ultimate goals being those of students negotiating roles, handling conflicts, and reflecting on the perspective of their peers. These forms of usage just illustrate the possibilities offered by ICT to operationalize socio-emotional learning on a large scale thus making inclusion a measurable and sustainable outcome.

4.4 Bridging General and Special Education

ICT provides real-life solutions for bridging general and special education thus, it helps in the formation of inclusive learning ecosystems that can accommodate the diverse abilities and learning needs of learners. Assistive technologies such as screen readers, text-to-speech software, adaptive keyboards, and augmentative communication devices make it possible for students with disabilities to learn the same curriculum as their peers and at the same time, they can interact face to face (Al-Azawei, Serenelli, & Lundqvist, 2016; Rao et al., 2014). These instruments are not enough to just remove the barriers but they should also be seen as promoters of engagement that is at the same level of fairness, thus they allow the learners to become active participants in collaborative and inclusive classroom activities.

Adaptive learning platforms are a perfect example of how ICT can be used for the personalization of instruction and at the same time the keeping the classroom together (Walkington, 2013; Pane et al., 2017). Smart Sparrow, DreamBox, or Knewton are such systems that alter the content difficulty, pacing, and support depending on the individual learner's profile (Walkington, 2013; Pane et al., 2017). By providing experiences that meet the needs of both general and special education students, these platforms become instruments of differentiated instruction without the segregation of learners, thereby, they are facilitators of inclusive practice.

Moreover, the use of ICT to undertake collaborative projects can be a further step in closing the gap between general and special education (Dillenbourg, 1999; Johnson & Johnson, 2009). For instance, a team of mixed-ability members can collectively work on multimedia assignments, programming tasks, or enquiry-based projects through using digital tools that are accessible (Dillenbourg, 1999; Johnson & Johnson, 2009). By the provision of different avenues for contributing—via text, audio, visual design, or simulation—students who are adversely affected by the presence of each other become the co-creators of the content rather than the passive recipients of it, which leads to them getting both the learning outcomes and social inclusion (CAST, 2018; Rao et al., 2014).

The Universal Design for Learning (UDL) principles are the basis of these strategies being implemented for inclusion, with a strong emphasis on flexibility, accessibility, and learner agency (Meyer et al., 2014). Through the use of technology, there are various means of representation (e.g., video, text, diagrams), action and expression (e.g., speech-to-text, interactive apps), and engagement (e.g., gamification, collaborative platforms) which make the participation of the whole class possible and at the same level of fairness (CAST, 2018). Therefore, the use of technology in line with UDL principles can be seen as the implementation of inclusive pedagogy, hence it is the unification that takes place across a variety of learning profiles that is being achieved.

Moreover, inclusive digital communities chiefly serve the purpose of general education students' social integration with those from special education. The opening of virtual discussion boards, the co-creation of blogs, and the formation of online communities provide safe places for shared interests, peer support, and learning through collaboration that goes beyond the limits set by physical classrooms (O'Dowd, 2018; Caldwell et al., 2019). Among the many benefits of these settings are the normalization of diversity, the decrease of stigmatization, as well as the growth of empathy and mutual respect, which are some of the ways through which ICT can be the bridge to the educational divides rendering the latter to be diminished more and more.

To sum it up, ICT is a provider of both technological and pedagogical means that can help in the connection of general and special education, thus facilitating differentiated learning, making the curriculum accessible, and increasing student engagement in collaborative activities. Through the use of adaptive platforms, assistive tools, and the practice of inclusion digitally, teachers can create learning environments that are academically challenging, socially cohesive, and emotionally supportive.

4.5 Teachers as Digital Mediators and Ethical Designers

In the context of ICT-mediated inclusive education, teachers are the holders of diverse roles that go beyond the regular instruction, and they act as digital mediators, facilitators of empathy, and ethical creators of learning environments (Ertmer & Ottenbreit-Leftwich, 2010; Selwyn, 2016). It is through the teacher's freedom to coordinate the use of technology in a collaborative way, and in an adaptive, socially responsive manner that the full potential of ICT in fostering unity and inclusion can be realized (Johnson & Johnson, 2009).

By acting as digital mediators, teachers help students in the navigation of online collaborative spaces, the use of digital tools, and the consumption of multimedia resources. A part of this work is the providing of the necessary support to gain the technical skills, the promotion of the efficient communication and the encouragement of the fair participation (Hew & Cheung, 2014). Teachers by being the models of the respectful dialogue, the empathy, and the reflective inquiry not only influence cognitive outcomes but also contribute to the students' socio-emotional development (Miller et al., 2020; Durlak et al., 2011).

Moreover, teachers act as ethical designers who decide consciously about such matters as content, accessibility, and interactions in the digital learning environment (Selwyn, 2016; Al-Azawei et al., 2016). Some of the most important ethical design considerations are those that provide for accessibility to students with disabilities, ensure their privacy, diminish digital inequalities, and bring about the promotion of respectful online behaviors (CAST, 2018; Caldwell et al., 2019). By practicing such actions, the learners see that it is a place for all of them and thus a culture of shared responsibility and empathy becomes established among them.

Professional growth as well as reflective practice can be considered as the prerequisites without which teachers would be unable to fulfill the above roles in an effective manner. Evidence from research suggests that teacher confidence, digital literacy, and knowledge of inclusive pedagogy are the factors that impact the success of ICT integration most (Ertmer & Ottenbreit-Leftwich, 2010; Rao et al., 2014). The continuous provision of support, the presence of mentors, and the formation of communities of practice that teachers can join thus greatly contribute to the teachers' empowerment in designing learning experiences that promote academic, social, and emotional goals at the same time.

In essence, teachers represent the ethical and pedagogical bridge between theory and practice. They are the ones who, by using ICT, create collaborative, differentiated, and emotionally intelligent learning experiences and thus they bring to life the principles of socio-constructivism, UDL, and social-emotional learning. This, in turn, leads to the creation of such learning environments where unity, empathy, and inclusion as the intentional outcomes rather than the ones that happen incidentally (Durlak et al., 2011; Johnson & Johnson, 2009).

4.6 Challenges and Future Directions

Integrating ICT for the purposes of empathy, unity, and inclusion is a challenging mission despite of its radical potential. Digital inequalities, which are among the most problematic issues, encompass the differences in access to devices, internet connection, and technical skills, thereby making it difficult for all the users to become active participants in the learning process at the same time, such disparities have a potential to deepen the already existing educational gaps (Selwyn, 2016; Warschauer, 2011). Solutions to the digital divide problem cannot be limited to only local initiatives because they need holistic policies, investments in infrastructure, and strategies which are supported by teachers so that the engagement of all learners becomes of high quality and everybody gets access to it

Teacher preparedness in this regard is a very significant hurdle. Research shows that teachers have difficulties in managing the technical aspect of the work, following the pedagogical goals, and at the same time fulfilling the ethical middle of their responsibilities, especially when they work in inclusive classrooms (Ertmer & Ottenbreit-Leftwich, 2010; Al-Azawei et al., 2016). To lift the educators' skill and self-assurance levels, training programs ought to offer an integration of technology-related instructions, teaching methods for inclusive classrooms, and development of the ethical aspect of teachers' awareness (Rao et al., 2014; Johnson & Johnson, 2009).

On the other hand, ethical dilemmas about privacy, data safety, and AI personalization in relation to students are some of the issues that are emerging. The use of adaptive learning platforms and AI tools may facilitate the personalization of instruction and moreover inclusion, but at the same time, they give rise to concerns regarding consent, bias as well as the ethical use of learner data (Selwyn, 2016; Holmes et al., 2019). Teachers and schools have to put in place measures to ensure that ethical practices and openness will be the norms so as to reduce risks and at the same time, to bring about maximum benefits in education.

Looking ahead, the focus is on having a seamlessly interconnected system of ICT tools like collaborative means, VR/AR, adaptive learning, and social-emotional interventions that are ethically designed so as to work together (Bailenson, 2018). The emerging technologies give plenty of possibilities to the users to enhance cross-cultural understanding, empathy, and global citizenship. However, the long-term achievement of the goal is contingent upon the alignment of pedagogy, technology, and social policy, which, among other things, guarantees that the innovations have as their end uses inclusive and equitable learning goals.

Alongside that, there is always a need for constant research to evaluate the longevity aspect of the impact that empathy, inclusion, and emotional intelligence through the use of ICT have on the individuals and particularly in different socio-cultural contexts (Melero et al., 2020; Durlak et al., 2011). Such research can be conducted through mixed-methods studies, longitudinal designs, and participatory action research which in turn can provide practical insights into the correct ways of doing it, ethical issues arising and the possibility of large-scale implementation.

To sum it up, ICT provides powerful ways to unify education, make it empathetic, and inclusive. Their success, however, depends on the concerted efforts to tackle challenges of infrastructural, pedagogical, and ethical natures that are intertwined. The carefully thought-out integration, the continuous support for teachers, and principled design will be the factors that determine whether technology will indeed play a catalytic role in that social cohesion and inclusive learning which have been envisioned.

5 Challenges, Ethics, and Teacher Professional Development

5.1 Technological, Pedagogical, and Access Challenges

Though information and communication technology (ICT) has the power to radically change inclusive education for the better, several challenges hamper its usefulness. To begin with, the digital divide exists both globally and locally, which influences the access of children to gadgets, good internet connection, and necessary technologies for the disabled (Selwyn, 2016; Warschauer, 2011). Economic differences double the inequalities, especially, for children with disabilities or those coming from the deprived sectors of the society (Al-Azawei, Serenelli, & Lundqvist, 2016). There can be differences in the digital skills of teachers and their usage of ICT even in schools that are well-equipped, which may result in learners getting different levels of achievements (Ertmer & Ottenbreit-Leftwich, 2010; Hew & Cheung, 2014).

From the pedagogical point of view, using ICT in such a way that it promotes children's both mental growth and emotional development is quite a task (Johnson & Johnson, 2009). When there is a mismatch between the technological features and the educational goals, the technology can be used just to a superficial level, thus, it may be that rote learning

is strengthened instead of collaborative, empathetic, or inclusive practices (Selwyn, 2016; Dillenbourg, 1999). Educators frequently point out that they are not provided with enough training in the creation of inclusive digital experiences, in the differentiation of their instruction and in the encouragement of socio-emotional engagement (Rao et al., 2014; Al-Azawei et al., 2016).

5.2 Ethical Considerations in ICT-Mediated Education

Continued ethical issues form the very core of challenges in an ICT-mediated learning environment. The trio of student privacy, data security, and algorithmic bias is the source of the most significant concerns when adaptive learning platforms, AI tutoring systems, and VR scenarios are in use (Holmes, Bialik, & Fadel, 2019; Williamson & Piattoeva, 2022). Educators and establishments have to keep up the data gathering transparency, get the consent of the involved parties, and make sure that everyone is treated fairly despite their different needs and characters (Selwyn, 2016; Melero et al., 2020).

Besides, the ethical principles also cover topics like diginetiquette and online conduct. To help students become more empathetic and aware of the importance of inclusion, they first need to be properly instructed in handling issues like responsible communication, collaborative norms, and conflict resolution in digital spaces (Caldwell et al., 2019; Durlak et al., 2011). Teachers serve as the bridge, on one hand, by exhibiting the morality and inclusiveness in their behavior, and on the other, by involving the students through the direct instruction of moral values in the digital world.

5.3 Teacher Professional Development and Capacity Building

Preparing teachers is the main factor in bringing the use of ICT to an inclusive level of practice. Development of teacher skills should focus on technical abilities, pedagogical methods, and moral sensitiveness at the same time (Ertmer & Ottenbreit-Leftwich, 2010; Rao et al., 2014). Teachers' confidence in handling adaptive learning tools, collaborative platforms, and multimodal content is raised through professional development activities such as workshops, mentoring, and communities of practice (Hew & Cheung, 2014; Johnson & Johnson, 2009).

Good professional development offers a strong focus on reflective practice and teacher inquiry, thus providing an opportunity for teachers to integrate new ICT approaches in their teaching and concurrently track the resulting inclusive, empathy-building, and engaging effects in their students (Selwyn, 2016; Durlak et al., 2011). The provision of ongoing support, coaching, and peer collaboration creates the conditions for commitment to inclusive pedagogy as well as the eagerness of iterative enhancement within school practice (Al-Azawei et al., 2016; Rao et al., 2014).

New paradigms also have implications for the cross-sector cooperation teacher professional development. Teachers from different schools, districts, or countries may collaborate by sharing teaching cases, co-creating digital resources for inclusion, and jointly evaluating the effects of interventions (O'Dowd, 2018; Dede, 2009). Such initiatives open up the doors to innovation, global perspective, and scalable solutions for ICT-assisted inclusive education.

5.4 Future Directions in Ethics and Professional Practice

The integration of AI, adaptive systems, and immersive technologies will bring more pros as well as more ethical issues problems to handle (Holmes et al., 2019). On the one hand, AI-driven personalization may be the key to inclusion and differentiation enhancement, but it also calls for a permanent vigilance to avoid bias and ensure fairness. On the other hand, the experiences offered by VR/AR can be very powerful empathy training tools; nevertheless, deploying them ethically requires, among other things, the designing of careful scenarios, debriefing, and reflection (Bailenson, 2018; Melero et al., 2020).

Models for professional development that aim at long-term success have to consider changes in technology as well. Educators must be provided with lifelong learning frameworks that would be made up of in-service training, online modules, and reflective communities so that they can keep up with the technological changes and at the same time protect inclusion, equity, and ethical practice (Selwyn, 2016; Rao et al., 2014). Policy-makers, educational institutions, and teacher training programs should work hand in hand to ensure that the use of ICT is not only compatible with the educational objectives but also with the requirements of social justice.

6 Conclusion

The research has delved into the complex role ICT in modern education as a facilitator for unity, empathy, and inclusion. The paper, based on socio-constructivist theory (Vygotsky, 1978; Bruner, 1996), Universal Design for Learning (CAST, 2018; Rao et al., 2014), and frameworks of social-emotional learning (Durlak et al., 2011), points out that ICT is far from

being just a simple set of tools; rather, it is a pedagogical mediator that has the power to revolutionize educational discourse, connect general with special education, and create caring and emotionally healthy learning communities.

The theoretical study in chapter 2 has mapped out how socio-constructivist pedagogy, collaborative learning models, and principles of UDL serve as a foundation for the implementation of inclusive ICT in education. Later chapters (Parts 3 and 4) showcased real-world interventions such as personalized learning environments, VR-based empathy exercises, digital storytelling, and shared virtual spaces. The research and practical examples have shown the role of technological tools in enhancing empathy, social cohesion, and fair participation thus making the abstract ideals of inclusion tangible and measurable (Miller et al., 2020; Melero et al., 2020).

Chapter 5 brought to the fore the complex issues and ethical dilemmas that come with education via ICT. Factors such as technological inequities, pedagogical alignment, data privacy, digital citizenship, and teacher readiness are the major determinants of the success of inclusive digital interventions (Selwyn, 2016; Holmes et al., 2019; Caldwell et al., 2019). Extensive teacher professional development, reflective practice, and communities of practice were identified as the main vehicles that can provide the conditions for ethical, fair, and efficient ICT integration (Ertmer & Ottenbreit-Leftwich, 2010; Rao et al., 2014; O'Dowd, 2018).

Several major implications for theory, policy, and practice have been pointed out by the analysis. First, the deployment of inclusive ICT strategies must be careful not to perpetuate structural inequities so that every student can benefit from access to devices, platforms, and technical support. Second, the ethical design and responsible educational use of ICT play a vital role in putting the learners in the safe, and at the same time, promoting the development of empathy, inclusion, and global citizenship. Third, teacher agency and professional development represent the driving force that moves theoretical frameworks into real classroom applications. Also, there is a strong need for long-term, mixed-method studies that would help ascertain the influence of ICT on cognitive, social-emotional, and moral aspects of learners in different educational settings (Durlak et al., 2011; Melero et al., 2020; Williamson & Piattoeva, 2022).

In a nutshell, ICT could operate as a transformational educational tool that bridges the gap between theory and practice, facilitates inclusion and empathy, and extends support to the overall growth of learners. By facilitating the integration of collaborative platforms, personalized learning, virtual reality, and ethical design principles, the teaching staff and educational institutions will be able to create the conditions for learning which will not only respect but also benefit from diversity, where empathy will be developed, and all pupils will be empowered to fully participate in the educational and social spheres of life.

Compliance with ethical standards

Acknowledgments

The Authors would like to thank the SPECIALIZATION IN ICTs AND SPECIAL EDUCATION: PSYCHOPEDAGOGY OF INCLUSION Postgraduate studies Team, for their support.

Disclosure of conflict of interest

The Authors proclaim no conflict of interest.

References

- [1] Al-Azawei, A., Serenelli, F., & Lundqvist, K. (2016). Universal Design for Learning (UDL): A content analysis of peer-reviewed journal papers from 2012 to 2015. *Journal of the Scholarship of Teaching and Learning*, 16(3), 39–56.
- [2] Alper, S., & Raharinirina, S. (2006). Assistive technology for individuals with disabilities: A review and synthesis of the literature. *Journal of Special Education Technology*, 21(2), 47–64.
- [3] Almeqdad, Q. (2023). Universal Design for Learning in digital contexts: A systematic review. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 71(1), 123–146.
- [4] Avramidis, E., & Norwich, B. (2002). Teachers' attitudes towards integration/inclusion: A review of the literature. *European Journal of Special Needs Education*, 17(2), 129–147.
- [5] Baumeister, R. F., & Leary, M. R. (2021). Writing narrative literature reviews. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 72, 631–659.

- [6] Bailenson, J. N. (2018). *Experience on demand: What virtual reality is, how it works, and what it can do*. W. W. Norton & Company.
- [7] Barr, R. (2018). Fostering empathy and prosocial behavior in digital learning environments. *Computers & Education*, 127, 1–12.
- [8] Beetham, H., & Sharpe, R. (2019). *Rethinking pedagogy for a digital age: Principles and practices of design* (3rd ed.). Routledge.
- [9] Belman, J., & Flanagan, M. (2010). Designing games to foster empathy. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 58(2), 217–232.
- [10] Berns, S., Canning, N., & Hall, C. (2021). Digital empathy in collaborative classrooms: A pedagogical framework. *Journal of Interactive Media in Education*, 2021(1), 1–13.
- [11] Booth, T., & Ainscow, M. (2011). *The index for inclusion: Developing learning and participation in schools* (3rd ed.). Centre for Studies on Inclusive Education.
- [12] Booth, T., & Ainscow, M. (2016). *The Index for Inclusion: A guide to school development led by inclusive values* (4th ed.). Centre for Studies on Inclusive Education.
- [13] Caldwell, C., Caldwell, K., & Mitchell, C. (2019). Promoting psychological safety in online collaborative learning. *Computers & Education*, 142, 103644.
- [14] CAST. (2018). *Universal Design for Learning guidelines version 2.2*. CAST. <https://udlguidelines.cast.org>
- [15] Cavanagh, M., & Prescott, A. (2021). Building inclusive learning communities through technology. *International Journal of Inclusive Education*, 25(6), 710–728.
- [16] Dede, C. (2009). Immersive interfaces for engagement and learning. *Science*, 323(5910), 66–69.
- [17] De Boer, A., Pijl, S. J., & Minnaert, A. (2011). Regular primary schoolteachers' attitudes towards inclusive education: A review of the literature. *International Journal of Inclusive Education*, 15(3), 331–353.
- [18] Dillenbourg, P. (1999). *Collaborative learning: Cognitive and computational approaches*. Elsevier.
- [19] Drigas, A., & Angelidakis, A. (2017). Virtual reality and inclusive education: A systematic review. *Frontiers in Education*, 2, 35.
- [20] Drigas, A., & Mitsea, E. (2021). AI-driven adaptive learning systems in inclusive classrooms. *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning*, 16(3), 45–58.
- [21] Durlak, J. A., Weissberg, R. P., Dymnicki, A. B., Taylor, R. D., & Schellinger, K. B. (2011). The impact of enhancing students' social and emotional learning: A meta-analysis of school-based universal interventions. *Child Development*, 82(1), 405–432.
- [22] Duarte, C., Silva, R., & Popescu, L. (2023). Digital empathy and inclusion: Comparative study in Portugal and Romania. *Computers & Education*, 190, 104620.
- [23] Edyburn, D. L. (2020). Technology-enhanced learning for students with disabilities. *Journal of Special Education Technology*, 35(1), 3–14.
- [24] Ertmer, P. A., & Ottenbreit-Leftwich, A. T. (2010). Teacher technology change: How knowledge, confidence, beliefs, and culture intersect. *Journal of Research on Technology in Education*, 42(3), 255–284.
- [25] Eynon, R., & Maloney, E. (2021). Family engagement in digital learning: Practices and outcomes. *Computers & Education*, 168, 104209.
- [26] European Agency for Special Needs and Inclusive Education. (2023). *ICT for inclusion: Strategies, policy, and practice*. European Agency.
- [27] Florian, L. (2015). *Inclusive pedagogy: Practical strategies for changing classrooms*. Routledge.
- [28] Florian, L. (2021). Collaborative professionalism and inclusive practice. *International Journal of Inclusive Education*, 25(7), 789–805.
- [29] Florian, L., & Beaton, M. (2018). Inclusive education in the digital era: The role of technology. *Journal of Research in Special Educational Needs*, 18(3), 182–192.
- [30] Florian, L., & Black-Hawkins, K. (2011). Exploring inclusive pedagogy. *British Educational Research Journal*, 37(5), 813–828.

- [31] Friend, M., & Cook, L. (2020). *Interactions: Collaboration skills for school professionals* (8th ed.). Pearson.
- [32] Fullan, M., & Langworthy, M. (2014). *A rich seam: How new pedagogies find deep learning*. Pearson Education.
- [33] Hargreaves, A., & Fullan, M. (2020). *Professional capital: Transforming teaching in every school* (2nd ed.). Teachers College Press.
- [34] Hehir, T., Schifter, L., Grindal, T., & Eidelman, H. (2016). *A summary of the evidence on inclusive education*. Abt Associates.
- [35] Herrera, F., Bailenson, J., Weisz, E., Ogle, E., & Zaki, J. (2018). Building long-term empathy: A large-scale comparison of traditional and virtual reality perspective-taking. *PLOS ONE*, 13(10), e0204494.
- [36] Holmes, W., Bialik, M., & Fadel, C. (2019). *Artificial intelligence in education: Promises and implications for teaching and learning*. Center for Curriculum Redesign.
- [37] Hoppey, D., & Mickelson, R. (2020). Co-teaching for inclusive education: Models and outcomes. *Preventing School Failure*, 64(4), 259–268.
- [38] Hew, K. F., & Cheung, W. S. (2014). Students' and instructors' use of massive open online courses (MOOCs). *Computers & Education*, 79, 37–50.
- [39] Ioannidi, E. (2023). Digital inclusion and teacher co-agency. *International Journal of Inclusive Education*, 27(5), 612–630.
- [40] Johnson, D. W., & Johnson, R. T. (2009). An educational psychology success story: Social interdependence theory and cooperative learning. *Educational Researcher*, 38(5), 365–379.
- [41] Jenkins, H., Ito, M., & boyd, d. (2016). *Participatory culture in a networked era: A conversation on youth, learning, commerce, and politics*. Polity Press.
- [42] Karagianni, A., & Drigas, A. (2023). Mobile technologies and inclusive education: A scoping review. *Education and Information Technologies*, 28, 185–207.
- [43] Karagianni, A., & Drigas, A. (2023). Emerging technologies in inclusive pedagogy: Trends and challenges. *Computers & Education*, 193, 104642.
- [44] Kooli, C. (2025). Ethical challenges in AI-mediated inclusive education. *Computers & Education*, 208, 104964.
- [45] Laurillard, D. (2012). *Teaching as a design science: Building pedagogical patterns for learning and technology*. Routledge.
- [46] Lehtonen, T., Kivinen, O., & Saarinen, J. (2022). Digital professional learning communities and inclusion. *Technology, Pedagogy and Education*, 31(1), 67–82.
- [47] Lynch, R., & Corbett, J. (2022). Co-teaching and technology integration: Enhancing inclusive practices. *Journal of Special Education Technology*, 37(2), 90–104.
- [48] Manca, S. (2020). Digital empathy in online learning communities. *Computers & Education*, 147, 103777.
- [49] Mateus-Berr, R. (2021). Virtual simulations for empathy and inclusion: Evidence from secondary schools. *Computers & Education*, 168, 104222.
- [50] Melero, F. J., García-Ramos, A., & Torres, A. (2020). VR-based interventions for social inclusion in schools. *Computers & Education*, 149, 103801.
- [51] Mercer, N., & Howe, C. (2012). Explaining the dialogic processes of teaching and learning. *Learning, Culture and Social Interaction*, 1(1), 12–21.
- [52] Miles, S., & Singal, N. (2010). The education for all and inclusive education debate: Conflict, contradiction or opportunity? *International Journal of Inclusive Education*, 14(1), 1–15.
- [53] Miller, E., Kohnke, L., & Rickards, T. (2020). Collaborative digital learning and empathy development. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 51(4), 1254–1270.
- [54] Noddings, N. (2012). *The ethics of care: Personal, political, and global*. University of California Press.
- [55] Norwich, B. (2014). *Diversity, inclusion and equality in education*. Routledge.
- [56] Oliver, M. (1996). *Understanding disability: From theory to practice*. Macmillan.

- [57] O'Dowd, R. (2018). From telecollaboration to virtual exchange: State-of-the-art and the role of COIL in modern education. *Language Learning & Technology*, 22(3), 1–19.
- [58] Pagliara, A., Smith, P., & Rossi, L. (2024). AI and inclusive education: Teacher readiness and ethical considerations. *Computers & Education*, 205, 104873.
- [59] Pane, J. F., Steiner, E. D., Baird, M. D., & Hamilton, L. S. (2017). Informing progress: Insights on personalized learning implementation and effects. RAND Corporation.
- [60] Papoutsis, E. (2023). Digital empathy interventions in adolescence. *Computers & Education*, 198, 104752.
- [61] Peters, S. (2007). Inclusive education: An EFA strategy for all children. *Prospects*, 37(1), 15–34.
- [62] Rao, K., Ok, M. W., & Bryant, B. R. (2014). A review of research on Universal Design for Learning in postsecondary education. *The Journal of Special Education*, 48(3), 95–113.
- [63] Rao, K., Ok, M. W., & Bryant, B. R. (2014). UDL in digital learning environments. *Journal of Special Education Technology*, 29(3), 1–12.
- [64] Rao, K., & Dalton, B. (2009). Applying UDL principles to digital learning. *Teaching Exceptional Children*, 41(6), 36–43.
- [65] Ribble, M. (2021). Digital citizenship in schools: Nine elements all students should know (3rd ed.). International Society for Technology in Education.
- [66] Robin, B. (2008). Digital storytelling: A powerful technology tool for the 21st-century classroom. *Theory Into Practice*, 47(3), 220–228.
- [67] Rose, D., & Meyer, A. (2002). Teaching every student in the digital age: Universal Design for Learning. ASCD.
- [68] Rose, D., & Dalton, B. (2009). Learning to read in the digital age. *Mind, Brain, and Education*, 3(2), 74–83.
- [69] Schutte, N. S., & Stilinović, E. J. (2017). Facilitating empathy through virtual reality. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 76, 127–135.
- [70] Selwyn, N. (2016). Education and technology: Key issues and debates (2nd ed.). Bloomsbury Academic.
- [71] Sharma, U., & Loreman, T. (2014). Teacher education for inclusion: Key research and future directions. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Teacher Education*, 42(2), 111–123.
- [72] Slee, R. (2018). Inclusive education isn't dead, it just smells funny. Routledge.
- [73] Stahl, G. (2021). Group cognition: Computer support for building collaborative knowledge. MIT Press.
- [74] Stoll, L., Bolam, R., McMahon, A., Wallace, M., & Thomas, S. (2021). Professional learning communities: A review of the literature. *Journal of Educational Change*, 22(3), 245–273.
- [75] Trust, T., & Carpenter, J. P. (2020). Teachers' social media use for professional learning: A review of the literature. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 68(5), 2011–2036.
- [76] UNESCO. (1994). The Salamanca Statement and framework for action on special needs education. UNESCO.
- [77] UNESCO. (2020). Global education monitoring report 2020: Inclusion and education. UNESCO.
- [78] UNESCO IITE. (n.d.). ICT in teacher professional development for inclusion. Moscow: UNESCO Institute for Information Technologies in Education.
- [79] United Nations. (2006). Convention on the rights of persons with disabilities. UN.
- [80] Villatoro Moral, L., & Moreno-Tallón, D. (2025). Digital learning tools for inclusive education: Evidence from Europe. *Computers & Education*, 199, 104705.
- [81] Walkington, C. (2013). Using adaptive learning technologies to personalize instruction to student interests: The impact of relevance on learning outcomes. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 105(4), 932–945.
- [82] Warschauer, M. (2011). Learning in the cloud: ICT and the digital divide. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 59(2), 197–207.
- [83] Williamson, B., & Piattoeva, N. (2022). Objectivity as standardization in digital education: Ethical challenges. *Learning, Media and Technology*, 47(2), 127–145.

- [84] Zembylas, M. (2021). Pedagogy of care in inclusive digital education. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 104, 103403.
- [85] Stathopoulou, et al 2018, Mobile assessment procedures for mental health and literacy skills in education. *International Journal of Interactive Mobile Technologies (IJIM)*, 12(3), 21-37, <https://doi.org/10.3991/ijim.v12i3.8038>
- [86] Stathopoulou A, Karabatzaki Z, Tsiros D, Katsantoni S, Drigas A, 2019. Mobile apps the educational solution for autistic students in secondary education , *Journal of Interactive Mobile Technologies (IJIM)* 13 (2), 89-101, <https://doi.org/10.3991/ijim.v13i02.9896>
- [87] Drigas A, DE Dede, S Dedes 2020 Mobile and other applications for mental imagery to improve learning disabilities and mental health *International , Journal of Computer Science Issues (IJCSI)* 17 (4), 18-23 DOI:10.5281/zenodo.3987533
- [88] Politi-Georgousi S, Drigas A 2020 Mobile Applications, an Emerging Powerful Tool for Dyslexia Screening and Intervention: A Systematic Literature Review , *International Association of Online Engineering*
- [89] Drigas A, Petrova A 2014 ICTs in speech and language therapy , *International Journal of Engineering Pedagogy (ijEP)* 4 (1), 49-54 <https://doi.org/10.3991/ijep.v4i1.3280>
- [90] Bravou V, Drigas A, 2019 A contemporary view on online and web tools for students with sensory & learning disabilities , *ijOE* 15(12) 97 <https://doi.org/10.3991/ijoe.v15i12.10833>
- [91] Drigas A, Theodorou P, 2016 ICTs and music in special learning disabilities , *International Journal of Recent Contributions from Engineering, Science & IT ...*
- [92] Chaidi I, Drigas A, C Karagiannidis 2021 ICT in special education , *Technium Soc. Sci. J.* 23, 187, <https://doi.org/10.47577/tssj.v23i1.4277>
- [93] Galitskaya, V., & Drigas, A. (2020). Special Education: Teaching Geometry with ICTs. *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning (ijET)*, 15(06), pp. 173–182. <https://doi.org/10.3991/ijet.v15i06.11242>
- [94] Alexopoulou, A., Batsou, A., & Drigas, A. S. (2019). Effectiveness of Assessment, Diagnostic and Intervention ICT Tools for Children and Adolescents with ADHD. *International Journal of Recent Contributions from Engineering, Science & IT (ijES)*, 7(3), pp. 51–63. <https://doi.org/10.3991/ijes.v7i3.11178>
- [95] Chaidi I, Drigas A, 2022 "Parents' views Questionnaire for the education of emotions in Autism Spectrum Disorder" in a Greek context and the role of ICTs , *Technium Social Sciences Journal* 33, 73-9, DOI:10.47577/tssj.v33i1.6878
- [96] Stathopoulou A, Spinou D, Driga AM, 2023, Burnout Prevalence in Special Education Teachers, and the Positive Role of ICTs , *ijOE* 19 (08), 19-37
- [97] Stathopoulou A, Spinou D, Driga AM, 2023, Working with Students with Special Educational Needs and Predictors of Burnout. The Role of ICTs. *ijOE* 19 (7), 39-51
- [98] Loukeri PI, Stathopoulou A, Driga AM, 2023 Special Education Teachers' Gifted Guidance and the role of Digital Technologies , *TECH HUB* 6 (1), 16-27
- [99] Stathopoulou A, Temekinidou M, Driga AM, Dimitriou 2022 Linguistic performance of Students with Autism Spectrum Disorders, and the role of Digital Technologies , *Eximia* 5 (1), 688-701
- [100] Vouglanis T, Driga AM 2023 Factors affecting the education of gifted children and the role of digital technologies. *TechHub Journal* 6, 28-39
- [101] Vouglanis T, Driga AM 2023 The use of ICT for the early detection of dyslexia in education , *TechHub Journal* 5, 54-67
- [102] Drakatos N, Tsompou E, Karabatzaki Z, Driga AM 2023 Virtual reality environments as a tool for teaching Engineering. Educational and Psychological issues , *TechHub Journal* 4, 59-76
- [103] Drakatos N, Tsompou E, Karabatzaki Z, Driga AM 2023 The contribution of online gaming in Engineering education , *Eximia* 8, 14-30
- [104] Lytra N, Drigas A 2021 STEAM education-metacognition-Specific Learning Disabilities , *Scientific Electronic Archives journal* 14 (10) <https://doi.org/10.36560/141020211442>

- [105] Pergantis, P., & Drigas, A. (2024). The effect of drones in the educational Process: A systematic review. *Education Sciences*, 14(6), 665. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci14060665>
- [106] Demertzi E, Voukelatos N, Papagerasimou Y, Drigas A, 2018 Online learning facilities to support coding and robotics courses for youth , *International Journal of Engineering Pedagogy (IJEP)* 8 (3), 69-80, <https://doi.org/10.3991/ijep.v8i3.8044>
- [107] Pergantis, P., Bamicha, V., Skianis, C., & Drigas, A. (2025). AI Chatbots and Cognitive Control: Enhancing Executive Functions Through Chatbot Interactions: A Systematic Review. *Brain Sciences*, 15(1), 47. <https://doi.org/10.3390/brainsci15010047>
- [108] Alexopoulou, A., Pergantis, P., Koutsojannis, C., Triantafyllou, V., & Drigas, A. (2025). Non-Invasive BCI-VR Applied Protocols as Intervention Paradigms on School-Aged Subjects with ASD: A Systematic Review. *Sensors*, 25(5), 1342. <https://doi.org/10.3390/s25051342>
- [109] Chaidi I, Drigas A 2022 Digital games & special education , *Technium Social Sciences Journal* 34, 214-236 <https://doi.org/10.47577/tssj.v34i1.7054>
- [110] Chaidi, I., Pergantis, P., Drigas, A., & Karagiannidis, C. (2024). Gaming Platforms for People with ASD. *Journal of Intelligence*, 12(12), 122. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jintelligence12120122>
- [111] Doulou A, Drigas A 2022 Electronic, VR & Augmented Reality Games for Intervention in ADHD , *Technium Social Sciences Journal*, 28(1), 159-169. <https://doi.org/10.47577/tssj.v28i1.5728>
- [112] Drigas A, Mitsea E, Skianis C 2021 The Role of Clinical Hypnosis & VR in Special Education , *International Journal of Recent Contributions from Engineering Science & IT (IJES)* 9(4), 4-18. <https://doi.org/10.3991/ijes.v9i4.26147>
- [113] V Galitskaya, A Drigas 2021 The importance of working memory in children with Dyscalculia and Ageometria , *Scientific Electronic Archives journal* 14 (10) <https://doi.org/10.36560/141020211449>
- [114] Drigas A, Mitsea E, Skianis C. 2022, Virtual Reality and Metacognition Training Techniques for Learning Disabilities , *SUSTAINABILITY* 14(16), 10170, <https://doi.org/10.3390/su141610170>
- [115] Drigas A., Sideraki A. 2021 Emotional Intelligence in Autism , *Technium Social Sciences Journal* 26(1), 80-92, <https://doi.org/10.47577/tssj.v26i1.5178>
- [116] Bamicha V, Drigas A, 2022 The Evolutionary Course of Theory of Mind - Factors that facilitate or inhibit its operation & the role of ICTs , *Technium Social Sciences Journal* 30, 138-158, DOI:10.47577/tssj.v30i1.6220
- [117] Karyotaki M, Bakola L, Drigas A, Skianis C, 2022 Women's Leadership via Digital Technology and Entrepreneurship in business and society , *Technium Social Sciences Journal*. 28(1), 246–252. <https://doi.org/10.47577/tssj.v28i1.5907>
- [118] Mitsea E, Drigas A., Skianis C, 2022 Breathing, Attention & Consciousness in Sync: The role of Breathing Training, Metacognition & Virtual Reality , *Technium Social Sciences Journal* 29, 79-97 <https://doi.org/10.47577/tssj.v29i1.6145>
- [119] E Mitsea, A Drigas, C Skianis 2022 Metacognition in Autism Spectrum Disorder: Digital Technologies in Metacognitive Skills Training , *Technium Social Sciences Journal*, 153-173
- [120] Chaidi, I. , & Drigas, A. (2022). Social and Emotional Skills of children with ASD: Assessment with Emotional Comprehension Test (TEC) in a Greek context and the role of ICTs. , *Technium Social Sciences Journal*, 33(1), 146–163. <https://doi.org/10.47577/tssj.v33i1.6857>
- [121] Kontostavrou, E. Z., & Drigas, A. 2021. How Metacognition Supports Giftedness in Leadership: A Review of Contemporary Literature. , *International Journal of Advanced Corporate Learning (IJAC)*, 14(2), pp. 4–16. <https://doi.org/10.3991/ijac.v14i2.23237>
- [122] Drigas A, Mitsea E, Skianis C, 2022 Intermittent Oxygen Fasting and Digital Technologies: from Antistress and Hormones Regulation to Wellbeing, Bliss and Higher Mental States , *Technium BioChemMed journal* 3 (2), 55-73
- [123] Drigas A, Mitsea E 2021 Neuro-Linguistic Programming & VR via the 8 Pillars of Metacognition X 8 Layers of Consciousness X 8 Intelligences. *Technium Social Sciences Journal* 26(1), 159–176. <https://doi.org/10.47577/tssj.v26i1.5273>
- [124] Drigas A, Papoutsis C, Skianis C, Being an Emotionally Intelligent Leader through the Nine-Layer Model of Emotional Intelligence-The Supporting Role of New Technologies, *Sustainability MDPI* 15 (10), 1-18

- [125] Drigas A, Mitsea E 2022 Breathing: a Powerful Tool for Physical & Neuropsychological Regulation. The role of Mobile Apps , Technium Social Sciences Journal 28, 135-158. <https://doi.org/10.47577/tssj.v28i1.5922>
- [126] Drigas A, Karyotaki M, Skianis C, 2017 Success: A 9 layered-based model of giftedness , International Journal of Recent Contributions from Engineering, Science & IT 5(4) 4-18, <https://doi.org/10.3991/ijes.v5i4.7725>
- [127] Drigas A, Mitsea E, Skianis C 2021. The Role of Clinical Hypnosis and VR in Special Education , International Journal of Recent Contributions from Engineering Science & IT (IJES) 9(4), 4-17.
- [128] Drigas A, Bakola L, 2021 The 8x8 Layer Model Consciousness-Intelligence-Knowledge Pyramid, and the Platonic Perspectives , International Journal of Recent Contributions from Engineering, Science & IT (ijES) 9(2) 57-72, <https://doi.org/10.3991/ijes.v9i2.22497>