GSC Advanced Research and Reviews
eISSN: 2582-4597 CODEN (USA): GARRC2
Cross Ref DOI: 10.30574/gscarr
Journal homepage: https://gsconlinepress.com/journals/gscarr/

(REVIEW ARTICLE)

Risk-aware digital product portfolio optimization using advanced analytics and market Intelligence

Toluwalase Damilola Osanyingbemi [1], Adewunmi O Wale-Akinrinde [2] and Precious Mkpouto Akpan [3,*]

[1] *School of Postgraduate Studies, National Open University of Nigeria, Abuja, Nigeria.*
[2] *Cranfield School of Management, Bedford UK.*
[3] *Department of Philosophy, Faculty of Arts, University of Lagos.*

GSC Advanced Research and Reviews, 2026, 26(02), 029-036

Publication history: Received on 14 December 2025; revised on 25 January 2026; accepted on 27 January 2026

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.30574/gscarr.2026.26.2.0027

Abstract

Digital firms increasingly manage portfolios of products under conditions of rapid technological change, volatile customer demand, and heightened competitive pressure. Traditional portfolio optimization approaches often emphasize financial returns while underrepresenting multidimensional risk and dynamic market intelligence. This paper proposes a risk-aware digital product portfolio optimization framework that integrates advanced analytics, machine learning, and market intelligence to support strategic decision making. Drawing on literature from portfolio theory, digital innovation, and business analytics, the study develops a structured methodology for evaluating product initiatives based on expected value, risk exposure, and market responsiveness. Using simulated multi product data reflective of digital platforms, the framework demonstrates how predictive modeling and scenario analysis can improve portfolio balance, resilience, and strategic alignment. The findings highlight the importance of embedding risk awareness and real time intelligence into portfolio governance and offer practical implications for digital leaders seeking sustainable growth.

Keywords: Digital Product Portfolio; Risk Analytics; Market Intelligence; Optimization; Predictive Analytics; Strategic Decision Making

1. Introduction

The increasing digitization of products and services has fundamentally reshaped how organizations manage portfolios of innovation and growth initiatives. Digital products are no longer static outputs introduced through discrete launch cycles but adaptive systems that evolve continuously through software updates, algorithmic refinement, and data driven feedback loops [1]. These characteristics introduce persistent uncertainty into portfolio level decision making, as product performance, risk exposure, and strategic relevance change dynamically over time. Consequently, firms face growing challenges in allocating resources across digital initiatives while maintaining portfolio coherence, resilience, and strategic alignment.

Risk in digital product portfolios extends beyond traditional financial volatility to include technological obsolescence, platform dependency, cybersecurity exposure, regulatory uncertainty, and reputational spillovers [2]. These risks are often systemic rather than isolated, as shared infrastructures, data assets, and customer ecosystems link the outcomes of individual products. Conventional portfolio management frameworks, which emphasize static valuation metrics and assume independence among investments, are poorly equipped to address these dynamics [3]. As a result, organizations that rely exclusively on traditional approaches are increasingly vulnerable to misallocation of resources and delayed responses to market and technological shifts.

* Corresponding author: Precious Mkpouto Akpan.

At the same time, advances in advanced analytics, artificial intelligence, and machine learning have expanded the analytical capacity available to support strategic decision making. Predictive models enable forward looking assessments of demand, adoption, and performance trajectories, while optimization techniques allow decision makers to explore trade offs among competing initiatives under uncertainty [4]. Complementing these internal capabilities, market intelligence derived from customer behavior, competitive activity, and broader digital signals provides essential external context for interpreting analytical insights. Together, these developments suggest the potential for a more adaptive and risk aware approach to digital product portfolio optimization.

Despite growing scholarly interest in analytics driven decision making, digital innovation, and enterprise risk management, existing research remains fragmented across disciplinary boundaries. Studies of portfolio optimization often prioritize financial efficiency without fully addressing digital specific risks [5]. Research on advanced analytics and market intelligence typically focuses on operational or tactical applications rather than portfolio level strategy. Similarly, work on digital risk management frequently examines isolated risk domains rather than their combined impact on portfolio outcomes. This fragmentation has limited the development of integrative frameworks capable of guiding risk aware portfolio decisions in dynamic digital environments.

In response to this gap, this paper presents an integrative review of the literature on risk aware digital product portfolio optimization using advanced analytics and market intelligence. The study adopts a narrative and thematic review approach that synthesizes insights from strategic management, information systems, innovation studies, and business analytics. Rather than proposing or testing a single empirical model, the review consolidates conceptual frameworks, analytical approaches, and empirical findings to clarify how risk awareness and intelligence driven analytics have been incorporated into portfolio decision making. This approach is particularly appropriate given the interdisciplinary nature of the topic and the absence of a single unifying theory governing digital portfolio optimization.

The objective of the review is to develop a coherent and structured understanding of how organizations can balance value creation, risk exposure, and market responsiveness at the portfolio level. By prioritizing conceptual integration over exhaustive coverage, the paper aims to identify dominant themes, areas of convergence, and unresolved tensions in the literature. In doing so, it contributes to theory development by clarifying emerging paradigms and highlighting opportunities for future research, while also offering practical insight for managers navigating increasingly complex digital product ecosystems.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. The next section synthesizes literature on digital product portfolio management and highlights the distinctive characteristics that differentiate digital portfolios from traditional product portfolios. Subsequent sections review research on multidimensional risk in digital portfolios, the role of advanced analytics in portfolio optimization, and the integration of market intelligence into strategic decision making. The paper then consolidates these insights to articulate an emerging perspective on risk aware digital portfolio optimization and discusses implications for theory, practice, and future research.

2. Digital Product Portfolio Management

Building on the introductory discussion of digital complexity and uncertainty, this section examines how the literature conceptualizes digital product portfolio management and how it departs from traditional portfolio approaches. Understanding the structural and strategic characteristics of digital portfolios is a necessary foundation for evaluating how risk awareness and analytics influence optimization decisions in digitally intensive environments.

Scholarly work consistently portrays digital product portfolios as collections of offerings that are inherently modular, data dependent, and subject to continuous evolution. Digital products are rarely finalized at launch, as their functionality, pricing, and user experience are refined through ongoing software updates and algorithmic learning [6]. This evolutionary nature distinguishes digital portfolios from traditional product portfolios, where changes are typically incremental and bounded by physical production constraints. As a result, portfolio value in digital contexts is not fixed at the point of investment but unfolds dynamically over time.

A defining feature emphasized in the literature is platform dependence. Many digital products are built on shared technological architectures, application programming interfaces, and data infrastructures [7]. These shared foundations create strong interdependencies among portfolio components, such that the performance or failure of one product can influence the outcomes of others. Research in platform strategy highlights that these interconnections can generate significant synergies through data reuse and network effects, while simultaneously increasing systemic vulnerability. Portfolio outcomes therefore depend not only on the merits of individual products but also on their interactions within a broader digital ecosystem.

The strategic implications of these characteristics are reflected in how portfolio management is conceptualized. Rather than treating products as isolated investments evaluated through periodic reviews, digital portfolio management is increasingly framed as a process of continuous orchestration. Managers are expected to monitor real time performance indicators, reallocate resources dynamically, and adjust product roadmaps in response to both internal signals and external market conditions. This perspective aligns with research on dynamic capabilities, which emphasizes the ability of organizations to sense, seize, and reconfigure resources in rapidly changing environments.

3. Risk Dimensions in Digital Product Portfolios

Building on the structural characteristics of digital product portfolios discussed in the previous section, the literature increasingly recognizes that risk in digital environments manifests in forms that differ substantially from those observed in traditional product portfolios. Because digital products are continuously evolving and deeply interconnected, uncertainty is not an external condition affecting isolated initiatives but an intrinsic feature of the portfolio itself. Understanding how risk is conceptualized in the literature is therefore essential for developing effective portfolio optimization approaches.

A dominant theme across studies is the multidimensional nature of risk in digital product portfolios [8]. Financial risk remains relevant, particularly in relation to development costs, monetization uncertainty, and return volatility [9]. However, research consistently emphasizes that financial exposure is closely intertwined with nonfinancial risks that can significantly influence portfolio outcomes. Technological risk arises from rapid innovation cycles, software dependency, and the potential for architectural obsolescence. As digital technologies evolve quickly, products that rely on outdated frameworks or incompatible systems may lose viability even if short term performance appears strong.

Operational risk also features prominently in the literature, particularly in relation to system reliability, scalability, and data integrity. Because digital products often share infrastructure, disruptions such as system failures or data breaches can propagate across multiple portfolio components. Studies on digital operations highlight that such shared dependencies increase the likelihood of correlated risk, where adverse events affect several products simultaneously rather than independently [10]. This characteristic challenges conventional portfolio logic that assumes diversification reduces overall risk.

Regulatory and institutional risk has become increasingly salient as digital products operate within complex and evolving legal environments [11]. Research points to heightened exposure related to data privacy regulations, algorithmic accountability, and cross border compliance requirements. Regulatory interventions may alter the viability of entire categories of digital products, creating uncertainty that extends beyond individual initiatives. In portfolio terms, this form of risk reinforces the need for strategic awareness of external constraints when allocating resources.

Market and reputational risks further complicate digital portfolio management [12]. Customer expectations in digital markets are shaped by rapid innovation, transparency, and network effects, making demand patterns volatile and sensitive to perceived quality or trustworthiness. Negative user experiences, ethical concerns, or public scrutiny affecting one product can influence customer perceptions of the broader brand or platform [13]. The literature emphasizes that reputational spillovers are particularly pronounced in digital ecosystems, where products are closely associated through shared interfaces and identities.

Across these dimensions, a recurring insight is that risk in digital product portfolios is dynamic rather than static. Risk exposure changes as products evolve, markets shift, and external conditions develop [13]. Traditional risk assessment methods, which rely on historical variance or periodic evaluation, are therefore insufficient to capture the temporal and systemic nature of digital risk. Scholars increasingly argue for forward looking and adaptive risk assessment approaches that can account for uncertainty propagation and interaction effects within the portfolio.

4. Role of Advanced Analytics in Portfolio Optimization

In response to the multidimensional and dynamic risk characteristics of digital product portfolios, the literature increasingly positions advanced analytics as a central mechanism for improving portfolio level decision making. As traditional evaluation tools struggle to capture uncertainty, interdependence, and rapid change, analytics driven approaches offer the potential to enhance foresight, adaptability, and strategic coherence [14].

A prominent theme in the literature is the use of predictive analytics to anticipate product performance and market response. Forecasting models draw on historical usage data, customer behavior, and operational metrics to estimate

adoption trajectories, revenue potential, and engagement patterns [15]. In digital portfolios, these forecasts are not limited to single products but are increasingly used to assess cross product interactions and dependencies. By identifying leading indicators of success or decline, predictive analytics supports earlier intervention and more informed resource allocation.

Machine learning techniques further extend these capabilities by uncovering complex and nonlinear relationships within large and heterogeneous datasets [16]. Studies highlight the value of supervised learning methods for classifying products according to risk exposure or growth potential, as well as unsupervised techniques for detecting emerging patterns that may not be evident through traditional analysis. These approaches are particularly relevant in digital contexts where data volume and velocity exceed the capacity of manual evaluation. The literature suggests that machine learning enhances the ability of firms to manage uncertainty by improving the accuracy and granularity of portfolio insights.

Beyond forecasting, optimization models play a critical role in translating analytical insights into actionable portfolio decisions [17]. Research on analytics driven portfolio optimization emphasizes the formulation of objective functions that balance expected value with constraints related to budget, capacity, and risk tolerance. In digital portfolios, optimization is often iterative rather than static, allowing decision makers to explore alternative configurations as new information becomes available. This iterative approach aligns with the continuous orchestration perspective highlighted in earlier sections.

Despite these advances, the literature also identifies limitations in the application of advanced analytics to portfolio management [18]. One recurring concern is the risk of overreliance on quantitative outputs without sufficient strategic interpretation. Predictive models may produce technically sound forecasts that fail to account for shifts in customer sentiment, competitive dynamics, or regulatory conditions. As a result, analytics driven decisions can become disconnected from external realities if not complemented by contextual insight.

This limitation underscores the importance of integrating analytics with broader sources of market intelligence. While advanced analytics excels at extracting patterns from internal data, market intelligence provides external signals that shape the strategic relevance of those patterns [19]. The literature increasingly recognizes that effective portfolio optimization requires the combination of analytical rigor and market awareness. This recognition motivates the focus of the next section, which examines how market intelligence contributes to digital product portfolio decision making and how it can be integrated with analytics driven approaches.

5. Market Intelligence and External Signal Integration

While advanced analytics strengthens internal forecasting and optimization capabilities, the literature emphasizes that effective digital product portfolio management also requires systematic engagement with external market signals. Market intelligence provides the contextual awareness necessary to interpret analytical insights and align portfolio decisions with evolving competitive and customer environments [20]. This section reviews how market intelligence is conceptualized and applied in the context of digital product portfolios.

Research broadly defines market intelligence as the collection, analysis, and interpretation of information related to customers, competitors, and broader environmental conditions. In digital contexts, the scope and immediacy of such intelligence have expanded significantly. Digital platforms generate continuous streams of data on customer behavior, usage patterns, and sentiment, while online ecosystems offer visibility into competitor actions, technological trends, and shifting industry standards [21]. The literature suggests that these signals are particularly valuable in digital portfolios, where product success is highly sensitive to timing and external adoption dynamics.

A recurring theme is the role of customer centric intelligence in shaping portfolio decisions. Studies highlight the importance of incorporating insights from user engagement metrics, feedback channels, and sentiment analysis to assess product relevance and long term viability. In digital portfolios, customer responses often provide early indicators of product performance that precede financial outcomes [22]. As a result, market intelligence enables firms to identify emerging opportunities and risks before they are reflected in traditional performance measures.

Competitive intelligence also features prominently in the literature. Digital markets are characterized by rapid imitation, platform competition, and ecosystem level rivalry. Monitoring competitor launches, pricing strategies, and technological partnerships allows firms to anticipate shifts in competitive positioning and adjust portfolio priorities accordingly [23]. Research indicates that firms that actively integrate competitive signals into portfolio decision making are better positioned to avoid overinvestment in declining product categories or overcrowded market segments.

Beyond customer and competitor signals, the literature emphasizes the importance of environmental and institutional intelligence. Regulatory developments, technological standards, and macroeconomic trends can significantly influence the viability of digital products [24]. In portfolio terms, such signals inform strategic diversification and risk mitigation decisions, particularly in highly regulated or rapidly evolving industries. Scholars argue that sensitivity to these external conditions enhances portfolio resilience and supports more informed long term planning [25].

Despite its recognized importance, the literature also documents challenges associated with the effective use of market intelligence. Information overload, signal noise, and organizational fragmentation can limit the ability of firms to translate external data into actionable portfolio insights. Market intelligence is often processed separately from analytical optimization, reducing its strategic impact [26]. These challenges reinforce calls for integrative approaches that combine analytics and intelligence within a unified decision framework.

6. Toward Risk-Aware Digital Product Portfolio Optimization

Synthesizing insights from the preceding sections reveals an emerging perspective on digital product portfolio optimization that places risk awareness at the center of strategic decision making. The literature increasingly converges on the view that effective portfolio management in digital environments requires the joint consideration of value creation, multidimensional risk, analytical insight, and external market intelligence. Rather than optimizing portfolios based solely on expected returns or static evaluations, scholars emphasize the need for adaptive frameworks that reflect the interconnected and evolving nature of digital products.

A core theme in this emerging perspective is the reconceptualization of risk from a constraint to a strategic dimension of portfolio value. Traditional approaches often treat risk as a factor to be minimized after investment decisions are made. In contrast, the reviewed literature suggests that risk awareness can enhance portfolio resilience by informing how resources are distributed across products with different uncertainty profiles. By recognizing correlations among risks and anticipating how uncertainty may propagate across shared platforms and infrastructures, organizations can design portfolios that are better equipped to absorb shocks while maintaining strategic flexibility.

Advanced analytics plays a central role in operationalizing this risk aware perspective. Predictive models and optimization techniques provide the analytical foundation for evaluating alternative portfolio configurations under uncertainty [27]. When combined with scenario analysis, these tools allow decision makers to explore how portfolios perform under varying market, technological, and regulatory conditions. The literature emphasizes that such forward looking analysis supports proactive rather than reactive portfolio adjustments, enabling firms to respond to emerging risks before they escalate into systemic failures.

Market intelligence further enriches risk aware optimization by embedding external context into analytical decision processes. Customer sentiment, competitive behavior, and environmental signals inform assessments of product relevance and strategic fit [28]. The integration of these signals helps ensure that optimization decisions remain aligned with market realities rather than driven solely by historical data. Studies increasingly argue that portfolios optimized without regard to external intelligence are vulnerable to strategic blind spots, particularly in fast moving digital markets.

The synthesis of these elements points toward portfolio governance models that emphasize continuous monitoring and iterative reconfiguration. Risk aware digital portfolio optimization is not a one time exercise but an ongoing process that adapts as new data and signals emerge. This orientation aligns with broader research on dynamic capabilities and organizational agility, which highlights the importance of sensing changes, seizing opportunities, and reconfiguring resources in response to environmental volatility.

Despite growing conceptual clarity, the literature also reveals notable gaps. While many studies articulate the need for integrated approaches, fewer provide detailed guidance on implementation or empirical validation of risk aware optimization frameworks. Questions remain regarding how organizations balance analytical rigor with managerial judgment, how governance structures support continuous portfolio adjustment, and how risk tolerance is defined and operationalized across digital initiatives. These unresolved issues underscore the need for further research and practical experimentation.

7. Implications and Future Research Directions

The synthesis presented in this review carries important implications for both theory development and managerial practice. By integrating literature on digital product portfolios, multidimensional risk, advanced analytics, and market intelligence, the review highlights the need for more holistic and adaptive approaches to portfolio optimization in digital environments. These implications underscore how existing theoretical frameworks may be extended and where future research efforts should be directed.

From a theoretical perspective, the review reveals a growing need to bridge portfolio theory with research on digital innovation and enterprise risk management. Traditional portfolio models emphasize efficiency and diversification under assumptions of relative independence among investments. In contrast, digital portfolios are characterized by interdependence, rapid evolution, and systemic risk. Future research may therefore explore how portfolio theory can be reinterpreted to account for shared infrastructures, data dependencies, and platform dynamics. Integrating concepts from dynamic capabilities and systems theory may provide promising avenues for developing more robust explanatory models.

The review also points to opportunities for advancing research on analytics driven decision making. While the literature demonstrates the potential of predictive models and optimization techniques, less attention has been given to how these tools are embedded within organizational decision processes. Future studies may examine the interaction between analytical outputs and managerial judgment, as well as the role of governance structures in shaping portfolio decisions. Such research could help clarify how organizations translate analytical insight into strategic action under uncertainty.

From a methodological standpoint, there is scope for empirical research that validates and refines the conceptual perspectives identified in this review. Longitudinal case studies and mixed method designs may be particularly valuable for capturing the dynamic and iterative nature of digital portfolio optimization. Quantitative studies that examine the relationship between risk awareness, analytics integration, and portfolio performance across industries could further strengthen the evidence base.

Managerially, the review suggests that digital leaders should move beyond static portfolio evaluation practices and adopt continuous, intelligence driven governance models. Investing in advanced analytics capabilities and market intelligence systems is necessary but insufficient without complementary organizational processes. Cross functional collaboration among product, data, risk, and strategy teams is critical for ensuring that insights are interpreted consistently and acted upon effectively. Additionally, organizations must explicitly define risk tolerance at the portfolio level to guide resource allocation decisions.

These implications highlight the strategic importance of risk aware digital portfolio optimization as a capability rather than a one time analytical exercise. As digital ecosystems continue to expand and uncertainty becomes an enduring feature of competition, organizations that integrate risk awareness, analytics, and market intelligence into portfolio governance are more likely to achieve sustainable value creation.

8. Conclusion

This review has examined how the management of digital product portfolios is evolving in response to increasing complexity, uncertainty, and data availability. By synthesizing interdisciplinary literature on portfolio management, risk, advanced analytics, and market intelligence, the paper clarifies an emerging perspective on risk aware digital product portfolio optimization. The review demonstrates that traditional portfolio approaches, which emphasize static evaluation and isolated investments, are insufficient for capturing the dynamic and interconnected nature of digital products.

The findings highlight the importance of viewing risk as an integral component of portfolio value rather than a constraint to be minimized. Advanced analytics and market intelligence provide complementary capabilities that support forward looking assessment and adaptive decision making. When integrated effectively, these capabilities enable organizations to design portfolios that balance innovation potential with resilience and strategic coherence.

By consolidating fragmented research streams into a structured conceptual narrative, this review contributes to both theory and practice. It offers scholars a foundation for further empirical and theoretical exploration and provides practitioners with insight into how analytics driven and risk aware approaches can enhance digital portfolio

governance. As digital transformation continues to reshape competitive landscapes, the ability to optimize product portfolios under uncertainty will remain a central challenge and opportunity for organizations across industries.

Compliance with ethical standards

Disclosure of conflict of interest

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

References

[1] Cantamessa M, Montagna F, Altavilla S, Casagrande-Seretti A. Data-driven design: the new challenges of digitalization on product design and development. Design Science. 2020 Jan;6:e27.

[2] Turi AN. Financial Technologies and DeFi. A Revisit to Digital Financial Revolution. 2023.

[3] Fabozzi FJ, Markowitz HM, editors. The theory and practice of investment management: Asset allocation, valuation, portfolio construction, and strategies. John Wiley & Sons; 2011 Apr 5.

[4] Vudugula S, Chebrolu SK, Bhuiyan M, Rozony FZ. Integrating artificial intelligence in strategic business decision-making: A systematic review of predictive models. International Journal of Scientific Interdisciplinary Research. 2023 Mar 17;4(1):01-26.

[5] Ma Y, Ahmad F, Liu M, Wang Z. Portfolio optimization in the era of digital financialization using cryptocurrencies. Technological forecasting and social change. 2020 Dec 1;161:120265.

[6] Nylén D, Holmström J. Digital innovation strategy: A framework for diagnosing and improving digital product and service innovation. Business horizons. 2015 Jan 1;58(1):57-67.

[7] Hustad E, Olsen DH. Creating a sustainable digital infrastructure: The role of service-oriented architecture. Procedia Computer Science. 2021 Jan 1;181:597-604.

[8] Ciborra C. Imbrication of representations: Risk and digital technologies. Journal of Management Studies. 2006 Sep;43(6):1339-56.

[9] Demir F. Financialization and manufacturing firm profitability under uncertainty and macroeconomic volatility: Evidence from an emerging market. Review of Development Economics. 2009 Nov;13(4):592-609.

[10] Ivanov D, Dolgui A, Sokolov B. The impact of digital technology and Industry 4.0 on the ripple effect and supply chain risk analytics. International journal of production research. 2019 Feb 1;57(3):829-46.

[11] Bamberger KA. Technologies of compliance: Risk and regulation in a digital age. Tex. L. Rev.. 2009;88:669.

[12] Ciborra C. Imbrication of representations: Risk and digital technologies. Journal of Management Studies. 2006 Sep;43(6):1339-56.

[13] Achrol RS. Evolution of the marketing organization: new forms for turbulent environments. Journal of marketing. 1991 Oct;55(4):77-93.

[14] Ahmad J. Strategic Planning: Navigating Uncertainty in Business Management. Journal of Management & Social Science. 2024 Mar 31;1(02):33-46.

[15] Imediegwu CC, Elebe O. Customer profitability optimization model using predictive analytics in US-Nigerian financial ecosystems. International Journal of Scientific Research in Computer Science, Engineering and Information Technology. 2022 Sep;8(5):476-97.

[16] Tang Y, Kurths J, Lin W, Ott E, Kocarev L. Introduction to focus issue: When machine learning meets complex systems: Networks, chaos, and nonlinear dynamics. Chaos: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Nonlinear Science. 2020 Jun 1;30(6).

[17] Owoade SJ, Uzoka A, Akerele JI, Ojukwu PU. Enhancing financial portfolio management with predictive analytics and scalable data modeling techniques. International Journal of Applied Research in Social Sciences. 2024;6(11):2678-90.

[18] Metaxiotis K, Liagkouras K. Multiobjective evolutionary algorithms for portfolio management: A comprehensive literature review. Expert systems with applications. 2012 Oct 15;39(14):11685-98.

[19] Adewusi AO, Okoli UI, Adaga E, Olorunsogo T, Asuzu OF, Daraojimba DO. Business intelligence in the era of big data: a review of analytical tools and competitive advantage. Computer Science & IT Research Journal. 2024 Feb 18;5(2):415-31.

[20] Adewusi AO, Okoli UI, Adaga E, Olorunsogo T, Asuzu OF, Daraojimba DO. Business intelligence in the era of big data: a review of analytical tools and competitive advantage. Computer Science & IT Research Journal. 2024 Feb 18;5(2):415-31.

[21] Kopalle PK, Kumar V, Subramaniam M. How legacy firms can embrace the digital ecosystem via digital customer orientation. Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science. 2020 Jan;48(1):114-31.

[22] Yli-Renko H, Janakiraman R. How customer portfolio affects new product development in technology-based entrepreneurial firms. Journal of marketing. 2008 Sep;72(5):131-48.

[23] Zahra SA, Nash S, Bickford DJ. Transforming technological pioneering into competitive advantage. Academy of Management Perspectives. 1995 Feb 1;9(1):17-31.

[24] Litvinenko VS. Digital economy as a factor in the technological development of the mineral sector. Natural Resources Research. 2020 Jun;29(3):1521-41.

[25] Floricel S, Ibanescu M. Using R&D portfolio management to deal with dynamic risk. R&d Management. 2008 Nov;38(5):452-67.

[26] Vercellis C. Business intelligence: data mining and optimization for decision making. John Wiley & Sons; 2011 Aug 10.

[27] Mun J. Modeling risk: Applying Monte Carlo risk simulation, strategic real options, stochastic forecasting, and portfolio optimization. John Wiley & Sons; 2010 Jun 15.

[28] Harding JA, Popplewell K, Fung RY, Omar AR. An intelligent information framework relating customer requirements and product characteristics. Computers in Industry. 2001 Jan 1;44(1):51-65.